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# Official-language minority populations under various enumeration methods 

Josée Guignard Noël and Éric Forgues

## 1. INTRODUCTION

When studying official-language minority populations, researchers and organizations working in minority communities often wonder which linguistic variables they should use from Statistics Canada's Census of Population. They may start out with the simple intention of enumerating these populations, but they end up asking themselves whom they should include in official-language populations. Answering that question involves defining them by using one or a combination of the language variables that Statistics Canada uses. While seemingly straightforward, this question raises other questions that have direct consequences not only on research, but also on public policy and on estimates of official-language minority populations that may use certain services. As a 2018 article in Le Devoir points out, [translation] "The number of Francophones outside Quebec is unfortunately a politicized issue. About every ten years, it resurfaces and, each time, gives rise to caricatures on both sides." (Charbonneau, 2018)
The timeliness of this question is related to the various political and organizational repercussions resulting from the number of minority Anglophones or Francophones taken into account in public policies and by organizations responsible for delivering services to these populations.
Two common variables are used to enumerate official-language populations in Canada: mother tongue and first official language spoken (FOLS). Mother tongue counts the number of persons according to the first language learned at home in childhood and still understood at the time of the census. While FOLS is a variable derived from data on knowledge of both official languages, mother tongue and the language most often spoken at home.

In Canada, in order to implement part IV of the Official Languages Act that covers communications with and services to the public, official-language populations were estimated according to FOLS. In 2011, data showed that Francophone minority population numbers had decreased in some regions, which caused concern, for example, among associations representing Francophones across the country. This reaction was specifically based on the fact that the federal government relies on these figures to determine the delivery language for some of its services (Francopresse, 2014). In 2016, the Treasury Board of Canada Secretariat undertook a review of the Official Languages (Communications with and Services to the Public) Regulations. The draft regulations were tabled in Parliament in October 2018, and published in the Canada Gazette, Part II, in January 2019, for comments. The amended regulations were registered in June 2019, and published in the Canada Gazette in July 2019. During this regulatory review, a new, more inclusive approach was developed to estimate the potential demand for communications and services in the minority language (Government of Canada, 2019).
Moreover, pressure from some representative Francophone organizations and key stakeholders prompted the Canadian government to review the method used in the Official Languages (Communications with and Services to the Public) Regulations (Francopresse, 2014; Vachet, 2018). The new calculation method is more inclusive, according to preliminary calculations, by ultimately promoting (along with all the regulatory amendments) the linguistic designation of some 700 new bilingual offices and, for the first time, by providing access to 145,000 Canadians living outside major urban centres (Government of Canada, Treasury Board of Canada Secretariat, 2019).

On June 4, 2009, the Government of Ontario announced that it was adopting a new definition of Francophone that expanded upon the mother tongue definition. Focusing on Francophone newcomers, the new method integrates persons "whose mother tongue is neither French nor English, but who have a particular knowledge of French as an official language and use French at home. This includes many recent immigrants to Ontario" (Government of Ontario, Office of Francophone Affairs, 2009). This new method, that is, the Inclusive Definition of Francophone (IDF)a method that is more inclusive than the previous one-increases the proportion of Francophones in Ontario from $4.4 \%$ to $4.8 \%$ and triggers increases in Toronto and Ottawa of $42 \%$ and 10\%, respectively. Clearly, the method used to enumerate official-language minority populations has undeniable impact-especially on how services are organized.

Charles Castonguay questioned this method of calculation, which he considers too inclusive. He believes that the language spoken at home variable should be used instead. This prompted a response from Ontario's French Language Services Commissioner, who maintained that the new definition is more relevant and takes into account the evolution of the province's Francophonie (Castonguay, 2019; Boileau, 2019).

A similar debate surrounds the recent communications of the Fédération des communautés francophones et acadienne (FCFA), which claims to represent 2.7 million Francophones. In recent years, Francophone organizations have tended to use knowledge of official languages to enumerate the Francophone population. This has resulted in 2.7 million persons outside Quebec who say they can conduct a conversation in French. The use of this method has certainly elicited some reactions. It has been questioned most notably by François Charbonneau, who wondered whether a Francophone who learns English suddenly becomes an Anglophone (Charbonneau, 2018). The president of the FCFA responded to this criticism by stating [translation] "if we are given the choice between two fundamentally imperfect statistics to depict the full spectrum of ways to identify with or participate in the Francophonie, we will always choose the most inclusive one" (Johnson, 2018).

As we can see, the "definition" of an Anglophone or Francophone minority member is the subject of much debate. To shed light on this debate, we wish to present some statistical methods for enumerating Francophones and English-speaking Quebecers living outside Quebec, using the linguistic variables of the Census of Canada. We acknowledge that the varied research and intervention contexts can influence the methods selected for enumerating these populations. We hope this report will stimulate reflection among researchers who are attempting to identify officiallanguage minority populations in their research work and inspire government authorities to implement public policies and services for these populations.

## 2. BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVE

This is the fourth Canadian Institute for Research on Linguistic Minorities (CIRLM) report aimed at identifying official-language minority populations based on language data from Statistics Canada's 2001, 2006, 2011 and 2016 censuses. ${ }^{1}$

Published in 2006, our first report originated from a concern expressed by the Consortium national de formation en santé (CNFS) and several researchers regarding the methods used to identify Francophones living in minority settings and quantify their demographic weight for research and health-care delivery purposes. The Commission conjointe de recherche sur la santé des francophones en situation minoritaire (joint commission on health research for minority Francophones) subsequently recommended that this issue be examined.

The objective of the first report and its updates (in 2009 and 2014) was to shed light on and provide food for thought on the statistical methods used to enumerate Francophones living in minority settings, and then to discuss the relevance of the methods used, based on their strengths and weaknesses. The 2006 report was submitted to a group of experts from various sectors and to partners interested in issues related to the health of Francophones living in minority settings, including Statistics Canada, Health Canada, the Office of the Commissioner of Official Languages, the CNFS, the Société Santé en français (SSF), FCFA and Canadian Heritage.

In this report, we have updated the tables with 2016 data and added data for English-speaking Quebecers; our goal was to obtain a more comprehensive picture of the effects of the statistical methods used to enumerate official-language minority populations for all of Canada. ${ }^{2}$ We have also added charts that illustrate the percentage change in the official-language minority population from 2001 to 2016; these are based on different linguistic variables or combinations of linguistic variables. ${ }^{3}$ We have also included Ontario's Inclusive Definition of Francophone (IDF) and the new calculation method that the Canadian government will be using, in the 2021 Census, to target regions with potentially "significant demand" for services in the minority language.

[^0]
## 3. DESCRIPTION OF LINGUISTIC VARIABLES

The first step in reflecting on the preferred linguistic variables to be used in the statistical definition of official-language minority populations is to review the variables that Statistics Canada currently uses in the census and in its statistical surveys.

Mother tongue: First language learned at home in childhood and still understood by a person at the time of the census. This person may report more than one mother tongue if these languages were used equally often and are still understood at the time of the census.

Language spoken at home: Language that a person speaks most often or regularly at home at the time of the census. The language spoken regularly at home is second to the language spoken most often at home. It was used for the first time in the 2001 Census. A person may report speaking more than one language at home if these are spoken equally often or equally regularly.

There is also the language spoken at least regularly at home, which is a variable built by adding the language spoken most often at home and the language spoken regularly at home.

Knowledge of official languages: Indicates, in the interviewee's judgment, whether a person can conduct a conversation in English only, in French only, or in both or in neither of Canada's official languages.

Language of work: Language that a person uses most often at work or regularly at the time of the census. The language used regularly at work is second to the language used most often at work. It was measured for the first time in the 2001 Census. A person may report speaking more than one language at work if these are spoken equally often or equally regularly. This variable refers to persons aged 15 and over who worked in the reference year. ${ }^{4}$

There is also the language used at least regularly at work, which is a variable built by adding the language used most often at work and the language used regularly at work.
Until 2006, the linguistic variables mentioned above were collected from the two national quinquennial census questionnaires by Statistics Canada. The first was the short-form questionnaire, which contained questions asked to the entire Canadian population (100\% of households) and was the basis for mother tongue data. The other linguistic variables were collected in the second, detailed or long-form questionnaire, sent to a sample of Canadian households. ${ }^{5}$ In 2011, the federal government began including knowledge of official languages and the language spoken at home (often and regularly) in the short-form questionnaire (sent to all Canadian households). The language used at work remained in the detailed questionnaire.

First official language spoken: As covered above, this variable is built from knowledge of official languages, mother tongue and language spoken most often at home. ${ }^{6}$ It identifies whether the person's first official language spoken is French only or English only. "Thus, the population is classified into two principal categories: English or French. It is necessary to add two residual

[^1]categories for people who cannot be classified in accordance with the information available: English and French and neither English nor French." (Statistics Canada, 2001)

According to this combination of variables: ${ }^{7}$

1) If a person's official language knowledge is French only (or English only in Quebec), their FOLS is French (or English in Quebec).
2) If a person's official language knowledge is French and English, and French (or English in Quebec) is their mother tongue (with or without a non-official language) ${ }^{8}$, their FOLS is French (or English in Quebec).
3) If a person's knowledge of official languages and mother tongue are French and English, and French (or English in Quebec) is the language most often spoken at home (with or without a nonofficial language), their FOLS is French (or English in Quebec).
4) If a person's knowledge of official languages and mother tongue are neither French nor English, but French (or English in Quebec) is the language spoken most often at home (with or without a non-official language), their FOLS is French (or English in Quebec). According to this method, persons who speak both official languages most often at home are placed in the third category, that is, French-English FOLS persons. Persons who know neither official language and whose mother tongue is not an official language are placed in the fourth category, that is, persons with neither French nor English as their FOLS (Statistics Canada, 2001; Statistics Canada, 2009).

[^2]4. METHODS FOR ENUMERATING OFFICIAL-LANGUAGE MINORITY POPULATIONS

Here are some possible methods for enumerating official-language minority populations according to the linguistic variables used in the Statistics Canada Census and surveys. We highlight the main strengths and weaknesses of each calculation method.
Some caution should be exercised when comparing and interpreting the language data from the 2011 Census with those from previous censuses. According to Statistics Canada (2011), analyzes of the linguistic situation from 2006 to 2011 must factor in the significant changes implemented between the two censuses. The changes stem mainly from the positioning of the questions in the short questionnaire and the linguistic context of the census period. Statistics Canada found that Canadians responded differently to questions about mother tongue and languages spoken at home. "Canadians seem to have been less likely to report a language other than English or French as their only mother tongue than in the long questionnaires of previous censuses, and more likely to report more than one mother tongue and more than one language spoken at home" (Statistics Canada, 2011: 5) ${ }^{9}$. There were therefore more multiple responses in 2011 than in 2006. However, there seems to be no change between the two censuses regarding knowledge of official languages.

### 4.1 Mother tongue

As stated in the introduction, mother tongue is a variable widely used to identify official-language minority populations.

## Strengths

- This variable group persons who have learned the minority ${ }^{10}$ language as their first language and still understand it. It therefore makes it possible to identify persons of Anglophone and Francophone origins.
Weaknesses
- This variable also captures persons who can understand their mother tongue but not necessarily speak it.
- This variable excludes persons who do not have a minority mother tongue but who know the minority language or speak it most often or regularly at home.


## Statistical impact

The number of persons who reported French as their mother tongue, alone or with another language, ${ }^{11}$ and living in a minority situation rose from 1,020,545 in 2001, to 1,074,985 in 2016, which is a $5.3 \%$ increase. Between 2001 and 2016, persons who reported both official languages as their mother tongues showed the highest increase, $61.9 \%$. Meanwhile, the number of persons who reported French only as their mother tongue increased by only 1.3\% (Chart 1 and Table 1 in Appendix A).

[^3]Chart 1 shows that most provinces and territories saw an increase, particularly in the three territories, Alberta and Newfoundland and Labrador. This increase was due in part to the number of persons who reported both official languages as mother tongues.
However, the Maritime Provinces, Manitoba and Saskatchewan saw a decrease in the number of persons who reported French as their mother tongue from 2001 to 2016. The number of persons who reported their mother tongue as French only had especially declined, given that the number of persons who reported their mother tongue as both official languages had increased.
In Quebec, there was also a $14.6 \%$ increase in English-speaking Quebecers by mother tongue. As with the French-speaking minority population, the number of English mother tongue persons increased only slightly, by 9.9\%, from 2001 to 2016. Meanwhile, the number of persons whose mother tongue was both official languages increased by 63.0\% (Chart 1 and Table 1 in Appendix A).

Chart 1. Evolution of minority mother tongue populations, by province and territory, 2001 to $2016^{12}$


Sources: Statistics Canada, censuses of population, 2001 and 2016.

[^4]
### 4.2. Language spoken at home

The language spoken most often at home has been measured since the 1971 Census, whereas the language spoken regularly at home was added to the 2001 Census. This latter variable is used to determine whether one or more languages are spoken regularly at home. For example, persons may speak English most often with their spouse and speak French regularly with their child.
Combining these two home-language variables (often and regularly) makes it possible to identify persons who speak a minority language at least regularly at home.

### 4.2.1. Language spoken most often at home Strength

- The language spoken at home is a strong indicator of a language's vitality. Relating this variable to mother tongue makes it possible to estimate the language continuity or language transfer rate (Landry, 2003).


## Weaknesses

- This variable does not include persons who speak English (or French in Quebec) most often at home, even if their mother tongue is French (or English in Quebec) [e.g. because they live in an exogamous household]. The language spoken at least regularly at home minimizes this weakness.
- It does not include newcomers who know French (or English in Quebec) but speak a non-official language most often at home.


## Statistical impact

In Canada outside Quebec, the number of persons who reported speaking French most often at home, alone or with another language, ${ }^{13}$ rose from 641,860 in 2001, to 678,135 in 2016, which is a $5.7 \%$ increase. Persons who spoke only French most often at home decreased by $-2.0 \%$, whereas persons who spoke both official languages most often at home increased by 92.5\% (Chart 2 and Table 2 in Appendix A).
As Chart 2 shows, the number of persons who spoke French most often at home is increasing, particularly in the three territories, Newfoundland and Labrador, Alberta and British Columbia. Meanwhile, Prince Edward Island, Nova Scotia, New Brunswick and Manitoba saw a decline in the number of persons who spoke French most often at home from 2001 to 2016. This decline was observed among persons who spoke only French most often at home, since the number of persons who spoke both official languages most often was increasing.
In Quebec, there was a $21.2 \%$ increase in English-speaking Quebecers by language spoken most often at home. However, as elsewhere in Canada, the largest increase was in the number of persons who spoke both official languages most often at home: it rose from 71,360 to 129,030 , an $80.8 \%$ increase (Chart 2 and Table 2 in Appendix A).

[^5]Chart 2. Evolution of the population who speaks the minority language most often at home, by province and territory, 2001 to $2016{ }^{14}$


Sources: Statistics Canada, censuses of population, 2001 and 2016.

### 4.2.2. Language spoken at least regularly at home

The number of persons who speak the minority language at least regularly at home is obtained by adding the number of persons who speak the minority language most often at home and the number of persons who speak it regularly at home.

## Strengths

- This variable allows us to include persons who speak the minority language at home regularly but not most often.
- It takes into account the exogamy factor, which very often favours the majority language as that most often spoken at home, without necessarily preventing regular use of the minority language (e.g. between a Francophone spouse and children).
- This variable is an indicator of the minority language's vitality, since the transmission of this language depends largely on its use at home (Landry, 2003).

[^6]
## Weakness

- Since this variable does not tell us about the mother tongue of the persons enumerated, it may exclude minority mother tongue persons who are able to use this language outside the home.


## Statistical impact

The number of persons who spoke French at least regularly at home, alone or with another language, ${ }^{15}$ and living in a minority situation, rose from 978,360 in 2001, to $1,126,545$ in 2016, which is a $15.1 \%$ increase. The number of persons who reported both official languages as languages spoken at least regularly increased (95.6\%) more than the number of persons who reported speaking French only (10.1\%) ${ }^{16}$ (Chart 3 and Table 3 in Appendix A).

The language spoken at least regularly is increasing in most provinces (notably Alberta, Newfoundland and Labrador, and British Columbia) and the three territories. New Brunswick and Nova Scotia stand out from the other provinces: New Brunswick saw a small decline of -0.4\% from 2001 to 2016, while Nova Scotia saw a slight increase of $1.1 \%$. It is interesting to note that in 2016, in most provinces, the number of persons speaking French at least regularly at home was greater than the number of Francophones by mother tongue.
In Quebec, there was also a $29.8 \%$ increase in the number of persons who spoke English at least regularly at home. The number of persons who spoke both official languages increased by $80.3 \%$ (Chart 3 and Table 3 in Appendix A).

[^7]Chart 3. Evolution of the population who speaks the minority language at least regularly at home, by province and territory, 2001 to $2016{ }^{17}$


Sources: Statistics Canada, censuses of population, 2001 and 2016.

### 4.3. Knowledge of official languages

Knowledge of official languages makes it possible to group persons who can conduct a conversation in the minority language.

## Strength

- This variable groups all persons who can express themselves verbally in the minority language, and is defined based on their perceived verbal language skills (speaking and understanding).


## Weaknesses

- This variable is based on respondents' perception of their ability to participate in a conversation (a subjective variable), and thus does not ensure an "objective" evaluation of this competency.


## Statistical impact

Based on this linguistic variable, the number of persons who reported being able to conduct a conversation in French, or in French and English, while living in a minority situation, rose from $2,439,050$ in 2001 , to $2,741,720$ in 2016, which is a $12.4 \%$ increase. If we consider the number of persons who know only French, this number decreases considerably. In fact, 112,055 persons reported being unilingual Francophones in 2016. In addition, the number of persons who knew

[^8]only French decreased by -2.7\% from 2001 to 2016, whereas the number of persons who knew both official languages increased by 13.2\% (Chart 4 and Table 4 in Appendix A).

In the provinces and territories, Chart 4 shows an increase in the number of persons who knew French, particularly in the three territories, Alberta and Newfoundland and Labrador. New Brunswick had the smallest increase, at only 0.3\%.
Interestingly, in the Atlantic provinces (except Prince Edward Island) and Ontario, the number of persons who knew French only was declining, while it was increasing in Western Canada (except British Columbia) and in the three territories.
In Quebec, from 2001 to 2016 , there was a $22.4 \%$ increase in the number of persons who knew English, or English and French. The number of persons who knew only English increased by 13.9\% and the number of persons who knew both official languages increased by 23.3\% (Chart 4 and Table 4 in Appendix A).

## Chart 4. Evolution of populations who know the minority language, by province and territory, 2001 to $2016{ }^{18}$



Sources: Statistics Canada, censuses of population, 2001 and 2016.

[^9]
### 4.4. First official language spoken ${ }^{19}$

Once again, this variable is derived from three census questions, namely knowledge of official languages, mother tongue and language spoken most often at home (see Appendix B).

## Strengths

- It groups persons for whom the minority language is not their mother tongue, but who know or speak the minority language at home.
- The variable allows persons who know both official languages to be classified according to their mother tongue. If their mother tongue is English and French, they are classified according to the language spoken most often at home.


## Weaknesses

- This variable excludes English and French mother tongue persons living in minority situations, but who speak the majority language most often at home.
- The name of this variable creates confusion by giving the impression that respondents speak one specific language to a greater extent. In reality, the question is primarily designed to measure respondents' linguistic potential. Only when the language spoken most often at home (which represents the third criterion for categorizing respondents) is included can the frequency with which a language is used be determined. It should also be remembered that this situation applies to only a small proportion of the population.


## Statistical impact

In Canada outside Quebec, the number of persons whose first official language spoken was French, as well as French and English, rose from 1,038,955 in 2001, to 1,093,585 in 2016, which is a $5.3 \%$ increase. Between 2001 and 2016, the number of persons who reported both official languages as FOLS rose by $35.2 \%$, a more rapid increase than the $2.0 \%$ increase in persons who reported French only as FOLS (Chart 5 and Table 5 in Appendix A).

The three territories, as well as Alberta, British Columbia, Newfoundland and Labrador and Ontario, saw an increase in the number of Francophones by FOLS. However, the Maritime Provinces, as well as Manitoba and Saskatchewan, saw a decrease in the number of Francophones. In 2016, in Ontario, Alberta and British Columbia, the number of French FOLS persons was higher than the number of French mother tongue persons.

In Quebec, there was also a 23.2 \% increase in the number of English-speaking Quebecers by FOLS. Between 2001 and 2016, the number of persons who reported both official languages as FOLS and English only as FOLS increased by $54.5 \%$ and $16.3 \%$, respectively. Quebec showed a higher number of English FOLS persons than English mother tongue persons (Chart 5 and Table 5 in Appendix A).

[^10]Chart 5. Evolution of minority populations, by first official language spoken, and by province and territory, 2001 to $2016{ }^{20}$


Sources: Statistics Canada, censuses of population, 2001 and 2016.

### 4.5. Language used at work

Since the 2001 Census, data has been available on language used at work. As with language spoken at home, the questions identified the language used most often and regularly at work.

### 4.5.1. Language used most often at work <br> Strength

- Language used at work is a key indicator of linguistic vitality in the work world.


## Weaknesses

- This variable focuses on the labour force (persons aged 15 and over), that is, on only a portion of the population.
- It also excludes persons who know the minority language or whose mother tongue is the minority language, but who do not speak it at work.

[^11]
## Statistical impact

In Canada outside Quebec, in 2001, 286,450 persons used French most often at work, alone or with another language, ${ }^{21}$ compared with 313,860 in 2016, which is a $9.6 \%$ increase. Between 2001 and 2016, the number of persons who used both official languages at work increased by $32.0 \%$, whereas it increased by only $1.5 \%$ for persons who used French only (Chart 6 and Table 6 in Appendix A).
Based on this linguistic variable, the number of Francophones increased for the three territories and for most provinces, especially in Newfoundland and Labrador, Alberta and British Columbia. Nova Scotia and New Brunswick were the only provinces where French declined as the language used most often at work. However, in these two provinces, the number of persons using both official languages increased from 2001 to 2016.

In Quebec, English as the language used most often at work increased by 25.3\% from 2001 to 2016. The number of persons who used only English at work and the number of persons who used both official languages increased. The increase was greater for persons who used both official languages (57.7\%) than for those who used English only (11.6\%) (Chart 6 and Table 6 in Appendix A).

## Chart 6. Evolution of the population using the minority language most often at work, by province and territory, 2001 to $2016{ }^{22}$



Sources: Statistics Canada, censuses of population, 2001 and 2016.
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### 4.5.2. Language used at least regularly at work

The number of persons who use the minority language at least regularly at work is obtained by adding the number of persons who use it most often and the number of persons who use it regularly at work.

## Strength

- If persons do not use the minority language most often at work, they may use it regularly. This variable makes it possible to capture persons who use the minority language most often and regularly at work.


## Weaknesses

- As with the language used most often at work, this variable focuses on the labour force (persons aged 15 and over), that is, on only a portion of the population.
- It also excludes persons who know the minority language or whose mother tongue is the minority language, but who do not speak it at work.


## Statistical impact

The number of persons who used French at least regularly at work, alone or with another language, ${ }^{23}$ and living in a minority situation, rose from 649,275 in 2001, to 712,975 in 2016 , which is a $9.8 \%$ increase. The number of persons who used both official languages at least regularly at work increased by $31.7 \%$ from 2001 to 2016 , and by $6.9 \%$ for those who used French only (Chart 7 and Table 7 in Appendix A).

With the exception of Prince Edward Island and Nova Scotia, French as a language used at least regularly at work increased across Canada, particularly in Newfoundland and Labrador, Alberta and the territories. New Brunswick, Manitoba and Saskatchewan have seen smaller increases, ranging from about 1\% to 4\%.

In Quebec, there was also a $23.6 \%$ increase in the number of persons who used English at least regularly at work. The number of persons who used only English increased by 18.4\%, while the number increased by $57.3 \%$ for persons who used both official languages (Chart 7 and Table 7 in Appendix A).

[^13]Chart 7. Evolution of the population using the minority language at least regularly at work, by province and territory, 2001 to $2016{ }^{24}$


Sources: Statistics Canada, censuses of population, 2001 and 2016.

[^14]
## 5. ENUMERATION METHODS BASED ON COMBINATIONS AND CROSS-TABULATIONS OF VARIABLES

As seen above, there is a variable that combines several linguistic variables: the first official language spoken (FOLS). In this section, we explore other methods of enumerating official-language minority populations. We see that cross-tabulating variables changes these populations' numbers.

### 5.1. Minority mother tongue and knowledge of the minority language among non-official language groups

This combination considers two linguistic variables: mother tongue and knowledge of official languages. It takes into account persons whose mother tongue is neither English nor French, but who know only French (or only English in Quebec) or both official languages.

## Strength

- This variable combination makes it possible to take into account persons who know the minority language, but whose mother tongue is neither English nor French.


## Weaknesses

- It is prone to including persons who know but do not speak the minority language regularly in their daily lives at home or at work.
- This combination also includes mother tongue Francophones (or English-speaking Quebecers) who can understand the minority language but cannot speak it.


## Statistical impact

Based on this method, the number of persons in Canada outside Quebec who reported French as their mother tongue, alone or with another language, ${ }^{25}$ and non-official language groups who reported knowledge of French, or French and English, rose from 1,284,375 in 2001, to 1,400,195 in 2016, a 9.0\% increase. Between 2001 and 2016, the number of persons whose mother tongue was only French increased by $1.3 \%$, and the number of persons whose mother tongue was both official languages increased by 61.9\%. The number of non-official language groups who knew only French increased by $30.6 \%$, and the number of non-official language groups who knew both official languages increased by 23.1\% (Chart 8 and Table 8 in Appendix A).
Most provinces saw increases in the number of persons under this combination. This was especially the case in Alberta and Newfoundland and Labrador, as well as in the three territories. The Maritimes, Manitoba and Saskatchewan saw declines instead. Most provinces and territories saw an increase in the number of non-official language groups who knew French.
In Quebec, from 2001 to 2016, there was a $28.2 \%$ increase in the number of persons who reported English as their mother tongue and non-official language groups who reported knowledge of English, or English and French. The number of persons whose mother tongue was only English increased by 9.9\%, and the number of persons whose mother tongue was both official languages increased by 63.0\%. The number of non-official language groups who knew only English increased by $31.2 \%$, and the number of non-official language groups who knew both official languages increased by 51.0\% (Chart 8 and Table 8 in Appendix A).

[^15]Chart 8. Evolution of the minority mother tongue population and non-official language groups with knowledge of the minority language, by province and territory, 2001 to $2016^{26}$


Sources: Statistics Canada, censuses of population, 2001 and 2016.

### 5.2. Mother tongue and language spoken at home

5.2.1. Minority language spoken most often at home by the official-language minority population Some researchers may conduct analyses on linguistic minorities (based on mother tongue) who speak the minority language most often or regularly at home. Among minority mother tongue persons, this combination captures official-language minority populations who can be described as active.

## Strength

- This combination captures active minority mother tongue Anglophones or Francophones, that is, who speak the minority language at home.


## Weaknesses

- This combination excludes minority mother tongue persons who speak the majority language at home.
- Furthermore, it fails to include persons whose mother tongue is not minority language, but who speak the minority language most often or regularly at home.
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## Statistical impact

Based on this variable, the number of persons whose mother tongue was French, alone or with another language, ${ }^{27}$ living in a minority situation, who spoke French only or both official languages most often at home was 601,675 in 2001, and 604,965 in 2016, a small increase of $0.5 \%$. Between 2001 and 2016, the number of French mother tongue persons who spoke only French most often at home decreased by -3.8\%; meanwhile, this number increased by $63.2 \%$ for those who spoke both official languages (Chart 9 and Table 9 in Appendix A).
The number of French mother tongue persons who spoke French most often at home increased in the three territories and in some provinces, notably Alberta, British Columbia and Newfoundland and Labrador. However, the Maritimes, Manitoba and Saskatchewan saw a decrease in the number of these persons.
In Quebec, the number of English mother tongue persons who spoke English only, or both official languages, most often at home increased by $13.7 \%$ from 2001 to 2016. The number of Englishspeaking Quebecers whose mother tongue was English and who spoke only English most often at home increased by $10.3 \%$, while those who spoke both official languages increased by $69.3 \%$ (Chart 9 and Table 9 in Appendix A).

Chart 9. Evolution of the minority mother tongue population who speaks the minority language most often at home, by province and territory, 2001 to $2016{ }^{28}$
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Sources: Statistics Canada, censuses of population, 2001 and 2016.

[^17]5.2.2. Minority language spoken at least regularly at home by the official-language minority population
It is possible to add to these populations persons who speak the minority language regularly at home.

## Strength

- This combination makes it possible to capture two groups among all minority mother tongue persons: those who speak the minority language most often at home and those who speak it regularly.


## Weaknesses

- This variable excludes minority mother tongue persons who speak the majority language at home.
- Furthermore, it does not take into account persons who speak the minority language at least regularly at home, but whose mother tongue is not the minority language.


## Statistical impact

Based on this variable, the number of persons whose mother tongue was French, alone or with another language, ${ }^{29}$ living in a minority situation, who spoke French only or French and English at least regularly at home was 761,605 in 2001, and 795,520 in 2016, a $4.5 \%$ increase. Between 2001 and 2016, the number of those who spoke only French at least regularly at home increased slightly by $1.1 \%$, while the number of those who spoke both official languages increased by $65.2 \%$ (Chart 10 and Table 10 in Appendix A).

The Maritimes, Manitoba and Saskatchewan saw declines since 2001, while the other provinces and territories, notably Alberta, Newfoundland and Labrador and British Columbia, saw mainly increases.
Between 2001 and 2016, there was a $14.6 \%$ increase in the number of English mother tongue persons in Quebec who spoke English only or English and French at least regularly at home, that is, from 582,975 to 668,175 . For those who regularly spoke English, the increase was $11.4 \%$, while for those who regularly spoke both official languages, it was $70.9 \%$ (Chart 10 and Table 10 in Appendix A).

[^18]Chart 10. Evolution of the minority mother tongue population who speaks the minority language at least regularly at home, by province and territory, 2001 to $2016{ }^{30}$


Sources: Statistics Canada, censuses of population, 2001 and 2016.
5.2.3. Minority mother tongue and minority language spoken most often at home among nonofficial language groups
It is possible to capture minority mother tongue persons and persons whose mother tongue is a non-official language but who speak the minority language most often or regularly at home.

## Strength

- This combination allows minority mother tongue persons to include persons whose mother tongue is a non-official language but who speak the minority language most often at home.


## Weaknesses

- This combination excludes persons who know the minority language but do not speak it at home.
- This variable also includes minority mother tongue persons who understand the minority language but cannot speak it.
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## Statistical impact

In Canada outside Quebec, the number of persons who reported French as their mother tongue, alone or with another language, ${ }^{31}$ and non-official language groups who reported speaking French only or both official languages most often at home rose from 1,035,750 in 2001, to 1,106,895 in 2016, a $6.9 \%$ increase. Between 2001 and 2016, French mother tongue persons increased by $5.3 \%$, nonofficial language groups who spoke only French most often at home increased by $76.8 \%$, and nonofficial language groups who spoke both official languages most often at home increased by 181.8\% (Chart 11 and Table 11 in Appendix A).

Based on this method of enumeration, provincial data show that from 2001 to 2016, the Maritimes, Manitoba and Saskatchewan saw a decline in their French-speaking population. However, the three territories and especially Alberta and Newfoundland and Labrador saw an increase in the number of this population. As mentioned earlier, the Maritimes, Manitoba and Saskatchewan saw their number of Francophones decrease based on mother tongue. However, the number of other mother tongue persons who spoke French most often at home increased almost everywhere in Canada.

Between 2001 and 2016, Quebec saw a $19.3 \%$ increase in the number of persons who reported English as their mother tongue and non-official language groups who reported speaking English only or both official languages most often at home. The number of English mother tongue persons increased by 14.6\%, while non-official language groups who spoke English most often at home increased by $28.4 \%$, and non-official language groups who spoke both official languages most often at home increased by 132.3\% (Chart 11 and Table 11 in Appendix A).

[^20]Chart 11. Evolution of the minority mother tongue population and non-official language groups who speak the minority language most often at home, by province and territory, 2001 to $2016{ }^{32}$


Sources: Statistics Canada, censuses of population, 2001 and 2016.
5.2.4. Minority mother tongue and minority language spoken at least regularly at home among non-official language groups
If we add the persons who regularly speak the minority language at home, the number of officiallanguage minority populations increases.

## Strength

- This combination allows minority mother tongue persons to include persons whose mother tongue is a non-official language who speak the minority language most often or regularly at home.


## Weaknesses

- The variable also includes the minority mother tongue population that can understand the minority language but cannot speak it.
- This combination excludes persons who know the minority language but do not speak it at home.
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## Statistical impact

In Canada outside Quebec, the number of persons who reported French as their mother tongue, alone or with another language, ${ }^{33}$ and non-official language groups who reported speaking French only or both official languages at least regularly at home rose from 1,073,710 in 2001, to 1,144,400 in 2016, a $6.6 \%$ increase. The number of French mother tongue persons and non-official language groups who spoke only French at least regularly at home increased by $5.3 \%$, while the number of non-official language groups who spoke both official languages increased by 147.2\% (Chart 12 and Table 12 in Appendix A).

Based on this method of calculation, the Maritimes, Manitoba and Saskatchewan saw a decrease in their French-speaking population while the other provinces and territories saw an increase. These increases stem mainly from the number of non-official language groups who spoke at least French regularly at home.

As for Quebec, the number of persons who reported English as their mother tongue and nonofficial language groups who reported speaking English only or both official languages at least regularly at home rose from 900,370 in 2001, to $1,094,205$ in 2016, a $21.5 \%$ increase. Among Quebecers, the number of mother tongue English speakers increased by $14.6 \%$, non-official language groups who spoke only English at least regularly at home increased by $29.3 \%$, and nonofficial language groups who spoke both official languages increased by 99.1\% (Chart 12 and Table 12 in Appendix A).

[^22]Chart 12. Evolution of the minority mother tongue population and non-official language groups who speak the minority language at least regularly at home, by province and territory, 2001 to $2016{ }^{34}$


Sources: Statistics Canada, censuses of population, 2001 and 2016.
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### 5.3. Knowledge of the minority language and knowledge of official languages by minority mother tongue

It should be remembered that the knowledge of official languages variable does not allow us to identify French-mother tongue persons among persons who know French and English (or English in Quebec). We have therefore performed a cross-tabulation to make this distinction. We selected persons who know the minority language only, minority mother tongue persons who know both official languages, and French-English mother tongue persons who know both official languages.

## Strength

- This combination makes it possible to include minority mother tongue persons among persons who know both official languages.


## Weakness

- This combination does not capture minority mother tongue persons who no longer know this minority language. Some researchers studying minorities may want to capture this segment of the population.


## Statistical impact

Based on this combination, the numbers of persons who reported knowing French only regardless of mother tongue, and those who knew both official languages but whose mother tongue was French, alone or with another language, ${ }^{35}$ and living in a minority situation, was 977,520 in 2001, and 1,021,735 in 2016, a $4.5 \%$ increase. Between 2001 and 2016, the number of persons who knew only French decreased by $-2.7 \%$. The number of persons who knew both official languages, but who reported French only as their mother tongue, increased by $1.6 \%$. Meanwhile, the number of persons who knew both official languages but reported English and French as their mother tongue increased by 62.6\% (Chart 13 and Table 13 in Appendix A).
Between 2001 and 2016, the Maritimes, Manitoba and Saskatchewan saw a decrease in this population, while the other provinces and territories saw an increase.
Based on this combination, these were the numbers for persons in Quebec who reported knowing English only regardless of mother tongue, and those who knew both official languages but whose mother tongue was English, alone or with another language: 755,480 in 2001, and 885,910 in 2016, a $17.3 \%$ increase. The number of persons who knew only English increased by $13.9 \%$ from 2001 to 2016. The number of persons who knew both official languages but whose mother tongue was English only also increased by $13.9 \%$. Meanwhile, the number of French-English mother tongue persons who knew both official languages increased by 63.5\% (Chart 13 and Table 13 in Appendix A).

[^24]Chart 13. Evolution of the population who knows the minority language only and the minority mother tongue population who knows both official languages, by province and territory, 2001 to $2016{ }^{36}$


Sources: Statistics Canada, censuses of population, 2001 and 2016.
5.4. Minority mother tongue and minority first official language spoken (FOLS) among nonofficial language groups
This method of enumeration combines the two most widely used linguistic variables, mother tongue and FOLS, in an attempt to mitigate their respective limitations. By using FOLS, we will exclude Francophones or English-speaking Quebecers who speak both official languages, who report both official languages as their mother tongues, but who speak the majority language most often at home. This combination of mother tongue and FOLS ensures that all persons with a minority mother tongue are included, and adds persons whose mother tongue is not a minority language but whose FOLS is French (or English in Quebec) (that is, who know it or speak it most often at home).

## Strength

- This combination makes it possible to include persons for whom the minority language is not their mother tongue but is their first official language spoken.
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## Weakness

- This combination also includes, by mother tongue, the official-language minority population who can understand the minority language but cannot speak it.


## Statistical impact

In Canada outside Quebec, these were the numbers for persons who reported French as their mother tongue, alone or with another language, ${ }^{37}$ and non-official language groups with Frenchonly FOLS or French and English FOLS: 1,124,120 in 2001, and 1,210,980 in 2016, a $7.7 \%$ increase. Between 2001 and 2016, the number of persons whose mother tongue was only French increased by $1.3 \%$, and the number of persons whose mother tongue was both official languages increased by $61.9 \%$.

The number of non-official language groups with a French-only FOLS increased by 62.2\%, and the number of non-official language groups with both official languages as their FOLS increased by 26.4\% (Chart 14 and Table 14 in Appendix A).

Most provinces saw an increase in the number of persons under this combination, notably Alberta, British Columbia, Newfoundland and Labrador and the three territories. However, the Maritimes and Saskatchewan saw declines.

In Quebec, the number of persons who reported English as their mother tongue and non-official language groups with English-only FOLS or English and French FOLS rose from 1,035,370 in 2001, to $1,278,500$ in 2016, a $23.5 \%$ increase. The number of persons whose mother tongue was only English increased by 9.9\%, and the number of persons whose mother tongue was both official languages increased by 63.0\%. The number of non-official language groups with English-only FOLS increased by $28.4 \%$, and the number of non-official language groups with both official languages as FOLS increased by 50.6\% (Chart 14 and Table 14 in Appendix A).

[^26]Chart 14. Evolution of the minority mother tongue population and non-official language groups with a minority language FOLS, by province and territory, 2001 to $2016^{38}$


Sources: Statistics Canada, censuses of population, 2001 and 2016.

### 5.5. Potential users of minority-language services

The CIRLM proposed a new enumeration method in the brief it submitted to the federal government during the public consultations on the review of the Official Languages (Communications with and Services to the Public) Regulations (Forgues, Guignard Noël and Robineau, 2017). This method aims to determine the number of persons who could potentially use services in the official language of their choice, including the following:

- Persons whose mother tongue is the minority language and who know this language;
- Other mother tongue persons who know the minority language, which makes it possible to take into account immigrants whose mother tongue may be other than English and French but who know the minority language;
- Persons whose mother tongue is the majority language but who speak the minority language at least regularly at home, which makes it possible to take into account majority-language persons who are active in the minority language (active Francophiles or Anglophiles).
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## Strength

- This combination is also more inclusive by capturing persons who do not have the minority mother tongue, but who know or speak it at least regularly at home.


## Weakness

- This combination excludes majority mother tongue persons who know the minority language and even use it outside the home and at work.

Between 2001 and 2016, the number of potential users of French-language services increased by $14.4 \%$, rising from $1,397,225$ in 2001, to $1,598,735$ in 2016. The number of persons whose mother tongue was French, alone or with another language, who knew French, or French and English, increased by $4.3 \%$. The number of other mother tongue persons who knew French, or French and English, increased by 23.3\%. The largest increase was for persons whose mother tongue was English, with or without a non-official language, but who spoke French, alone or with another language, at least regularly at home, at 59.9\% (Chart 15 and Table 15 in Appendix A).
For the provinces and territories, the chart below shows the evolution of the number of potential users of French-language services. Newfoundland and Labrador, Alberta, British Columbia and the three territories show the largest increases. The Maritimes show the smallest increases.
In Quebec, the increase from 2001 to 2016 is $33.2 \%$. The number of persons whose mother tongue was English, alone or with another language, who knew English, or English and French, increased by $14.3 \%$. For persons with a non-official mother tongue but with knowledge of English, or French and English, the increase was 45.6\%. This increase was $50.0 \%$ for persons whose mother tongue was French, with or without a non-official language, but who spoke English at least regularly at home, alone or with another language (Chart 15 and Table 15 in Appendix A).

Chart 15. Evolution of users and potential users of minority-language services, by province and territory, 2001 to $2016{ }^{39}$


Sources: Statistics Canada, censuses of population, 2001 and 2016.
5.6. New calculation method of the Official Languages (Communications with and Services to the Public) Regulations
Under the Official Languages (Communications with and Services to the Public) Regulations, federal offices must, among other criteria, offer services in both official languages when there is significant demand (Government of Canada, 2019). To estimate this demand, the 1991 Regulations took into account, among other things, the number and percentage of persons by first official language spoken (FOLS) in a given region, ${ }^{40}$ Certain factors, including the decrease in the proportion of Francophones outside Quebec (even when the absolute number was stable or increasing), would have meant that some of those offices would no longer be obligated to provide services to the public in both official languages. As a result, these regulations were amended in 2019. One of the amendments was to replace the FOLS-based calculation method. The more inclusive method now takes into account immigrants, immersion students and bilingual families who speak the minority official language at home.

[^28]This new method includes (1) persons whose mother tongue is at least the minority official language (alone or with another language), (2) persons whose mother tongue is not the minority official language, but who speak the minority official language most often at home (alone or with another language), and (3) persons whose mother tongue is not the minority official language, but who speak the minority official language regularly at home (alone or with another language) even though it is not the main language (Government of Canada, 2019, see Table 1).
This new method, that will be used for the purposes of applying the modified Official Languages Regulations (2019), shows that from 2001 to 2016, in Canada outside Quebec, the number of French speakers would have increased by $13.6 \%$, from 1,237,280 to 1,405,950. As stated earlier, the number of French mother tongue persons increased by $5.3 \%$ from 2001 to 2016. Nevertheless, the number of persons whose mother tongue was not French but who spoke French most often at home would have increased the most, by $82.1 \%$. The number of persons whose mother tongue was not French but who spoke French regularly at home would have increased by 46.0\% (Chart 16 and Table 16 in Appendix A).
All provinces and territories would have seen an increase in the number of French speakers from 2001 to 2016. Alberta, Newfoundland and Labrador, British Columbia and the three territories would have seen the largest increases.
For Quebec, the increase from 2001 to 2016 was $29.2 \%$, from $1,234,975$ to $1,596,115$. The number of persons whose mother tongue was not English but who spoke English most often at home would have increased by 36.9\%, and the number of those who spoke English at home regularly but not most often would have increased by 49.9\% (Chart 16 and Table 16 in Appendix A).

Chart 16. Evolution based on the new calculation method of the Official Languages (Communications with and Services to the Public) Regulations, by province and territory, 2001 to $2016{ }^{41}$


Sources: Statistics Canada, censuses of population, 2001 and 2016.

[^29]In 2016, in Canada outside Quebec, the number of French speakers based on the old calculation method (that is, based on FOLS) was estimated at 1,024,193, while the new method would estimated it at 1,405,950, a difference of 381,758, that is, a 37.3\% increase (Chart 17 and Table 17 in Appendix A). In 2016, Newfoundland and Labrador and Saskatchewan showed the largest percentage differences, while New Brunswick showed the smallest difference. The 2016 percentage difference for English-speaking Quebecers was $44.6 \%$. The following chart shows the change between the two calculation methods, by province.

Chart 17. Change (\%) in the number of speakers of the minority official language based on the 1991 Regulations (old) and 2019 Regulations (new), by province and territory, 2016


Source: Statistics Canada, Census of Population, 2016.
5.7. Inclusive Definition of Francophone

As stated in the introduction, the new method for enumerating Francophones in Ontario, the Inclusive Definition of Francophone (IDF), was announced and adopted by the provincial government in 2009. This definition makes it possible to include not only mother tongue Francophones, but all persons whose mother tongue is neither English nor French but who know French and speak it most often at home. This new method has allowed us to, among other things, include a greater number of newcomers. Nevertheless, there is no unanimous agreement on this method, and the former French Language Services Commissioner of Ontario ${ }^{42}$ has acknowledged that an overhaul of the IDF may be required (Vachet, 2017).

[^30]Chart 18 shows that from 2001 to 2016, the number of Francophones in Ontario, based on the IDF, increased from 578,550 to 622,513 , a $7.6 \%$ increase. The increase was $6.4 \%$ for mother tongue (Table 1 in Appendix A) and $5.6 \%$ for first official language spoken (FOLS) (Table 5 in Appendix A).

Chart 18. Evolution of the Franco-Ontarian population based on the Inclusive Definition of Francophone (IDF), 2016


Sources: Statistics Canada, censuses of population, 2001, 2006, 2011 and 2016.

## 6. DISCUSSION

In Canada outside Quebec, the variable that captures the largest number of French-speaking persons is knowledge of official languages, at 2,741,720 in 2016 (Table 4 in Appendix A). In Quebec, this is also the variable that captures the largest number of English-speaking persons, at 3,958,855 in 2016. However, the weakness of this variable is that it captures persons who know the minority language but do not use it in their daily lives.

The method that combines mother tongue and first official language spoken (FOLS) grouped 1,210,980 French-speaking persons and 1,278,500 English-speaking persons in Quebec in 2016 (Table 14 in Appendix A). The strength of this definition resides in the fact that it combines the two variables most commonly used to statistically identify official-language populations living in a minority situation. Combining these two variables compensates for each variable's individual weaknesses. Indeed, the FOLS variable has the weakness of classifying as a majority French-English mother tongue persons who know both official languages but who speak the majority language most often at home. On the other hand, the mother tongue variable excludes the growing number of persons who might identify with the minority language community, but whose mother tongue is not the minority language. Combining the mother tongue and FOLS variables is more inclusive.

In 2016, the method that integrates persons whose mother tongue is the minority language only, regardless of their knowledge of official languages, and persons whose mother tongue is a nonofficial language but who know the minority language only or both official languages, captured 1,400,195 persons outside Quebec and 1,259,610 persons in Quebec (Table 8 in Appendix A). This method of enumeration includes persons who can potentially identify with the minority community, without preventing them from identifying with other linguistic communities. However, in Canada outside Quebec, data show that newcomers are integrating more into the Anglophone community ${ }^{43}$ (Statistics Canada, 2017b). This combination includes the most Frenchor English-speaking persons while having the advantage of excluding persons whose mother tongue is the majority language and who know both French and English.
We could consider taking into account the language spoken at home and limiting this method to persons who speak French most often at home, which would bring us closer to the method used by the Ontario government. It is worth noting, however, that the method that combines mother tongue and the language spoken most often at home comes close to this calculation (Table 11 in Appendix A). In 2016, this method enumerated 1,106,895 French-speaking persons outside Quebec and 950,940 English-speaking persons in Quebec.
As we can see, official-language minority populations sometimes vary greatly depending on the methods adopted. In some cases, it may be relevant to be more inclusive by using knowledge of official languages. In other cases, it may be more relevant to capture Francophones by mother tongue who speak French at home (or English-speaking Quebecers who speak English at home in Quebec).

We can identify at least three dimensions to consider when defining a person by language: parentage, their choice to use the minority language and language rights. Mother tongue is a variable that refers to an individual's parentage. For the delivery of certain services, knowledge or use of the language are variables to consider. It may be relevant to have a combination that is

[^31]conducive to capturing newcomers who know the minority language or both official languages. The Ontario government has chosen this path.

The new combination proposed in subsection 5.5 provides a better estimate of the number of potential users of minority-language services (Table 15 in Appendix A). In addition to integrating minority mother tongue persons who know this minority language, we have added non-official language groups who know this minority language and majority mother tongue persons who speak this minority language at least regularly at home. This provides an inclusive method of enumerating the minority language space or population.

The new calculation method adopted by the federal government for the purposes of applying the Official Languages Regulations makes it possible to estimate the potential number of persons who might wish to use communications and services in the minority language; it aims to include, among other persons, immigrants whose mother tongue is not the minority language but who speak this minority language regularly at home (Table 16 in Appendix A).

Finally, the law is another dimension to consider when defining a person by language. The Official Languages (Communications with and Services to the Public) Regulations provide an estimate of the number of official-language minority populations. Section 23 of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms provides another definition, that of rights holders. Under section 23 of the Charter, rights holders are defined according to three categories: (1) first language learned and still understood (the mother tongue), (2) parents' language of instruction, and (3) children's language of instruction (Government of Canada, Department of Justice, n.d.). Based on these criteria, even children whose mother tongue is not the minority language may attend minority language schools, if one of the parents is a rights holder. They can become members of the minority language community through their educational rights. Mother tongue is used to define rights holders according to the first criterion but, in the Census of Canada, there is currently no variable for persons' language of instruction. No single variable or combination of census variables can thoroughly define the full range of rights holders in Canada. In anticipation of the 2021 Census, Statistics Canada is exploring the possibility of including new questions to better enumerate children of rights holders (Statistics Canada, n.d.). These new data will help determine the number of children eligible for minority language education. This will therefore be an important tool for official-language minority communities (OLMCs).

## APPENDIX A: TABLES

| Provinces and territories | Mother Tongue |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { (100\%) } \end{aligned}$ | \% of Population | French (English in Quebec) ${ }^{44}$ | French and English ${ }^{45}$ |
| Newfoundland and Labrador |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 2,525 | 0.5 | 2,180 | 345 |
| 2006 | 2,230 | 0.4 | 1,920 | 310 |
| 2011 | 3,015 | 0.6 | 2,525 | 490 |
| 2016 | 3,030 | 0.6 | 2,415 | 615 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) ${ }^{46}$ | 20.0 | 0.1 | 10.8 | 78.3 |
| Prince Edward Island |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 6,100 | 4.6 | 5,665 | 435 |
| 2006 | 5,880 | 4.4 | 5,370 | 510 |
| 2011 | 5,680 | 4.1 | 5,230 | 450 |
| 2016 | 5,400 | 3.8 | 4,895 | 505 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -11.5 | -0.7 | -13.6 | 16.1 |
| Nova Scotia |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 36,750 | 4.1 | 34,155 | 2,595 |
| 2006 | 34,915 | 3.9 | 32,675 | 2,240 |
| 2011 | 34,590 | 3.8 | 31,425 | 3,165 |
| 2016 | 33,345 | 3.7 | 29,690 | 3,655 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -9.3 | -0.4 | -13.1 | 40.8 |
| New Brunswick |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 242,060 | 33.6 | 236,770 | 5,290 |
| 2006 | 237,570 | 33.0 | 233,095 | 4,475 |
| 2011 | 240,455 | 32.5 | 233,780 | 6,675 |
| 2016 | 238,865 | 32.4 | 231,440 | 7,425 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -1.3 | -1.2 | -2.3 | 40.4 |
| Quebec |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 627,505 | 8.8 | 572,090 | 55,415 |
| 2006 | 640,615 | 8.6 | 591,760 | 48,855 |
| 2011 | 700,410 | 9.0 | 622,660 | 77,750 |
| 2016 | 718,990 | 8.9 | 628,645 | 90,345 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 14.6 | 0.1 | 9.9 | 63.0 |

[^32]| Ontario |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 533,965 | 4.7 | 493,630 | 40,335 |
| 2006 | 532,855 | 4.4 | 496,600 | 36,255 |
| 2011 | 561,160 | 4.4 | 506,945 | 54,215 |
| 2016 | 568,335 | 4.3 | 503,280 | 65,055 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 6.4 | -0.5 | 2.0 | 61.3 |
| Manitoba |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 47,555 | 4.3 | 44,775 | 2,780 |
| 2006 | 47,110 | 4.2 | 44,390 | 2,720 |
| 2011 | 47,665 | 4.0 | 43,510 | 4,155 |
| 2016 | 46,060 | 3.7 | 41,220 | 4,840 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -3.1 | -0.7 | -7.9 | 74.1 |
| Saskatchewan |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 19,530 | 2.0 | 18,040 | 1,490 |
| 2006 | 17,575 | 1.8 | 16,300 | 1,275 |
| 2011 | 18,935 | 1.9 | 17,030 | 1,905 |
| 2016 | 17,740 | 1.6 | 15,415 | 2,325 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -9.2 | -0.4 | -14.6 | 56.0 |
| Alberta |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 65,990 | 2.2 | 59,735 | 6,255 |
| 2006 | 68,435 | 2.1 | 62,550 | 5,885 |
| 2011 | 81,085 | 2.2 | 71,490 | 9,595 |
| 2016 | 86,700 | 2.2 | 74,835 | 11,865 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 31.4 | -0.1 | 25.3 | 89.7 |
| British Columbia |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 63,630 | 1.6 | 56,105 | 7,525 |
| 2006 | 63,295 | 1.6 | 56,585 | 6,710 |
| 2011 | 70,765 | 1.6 | 60,630 | 10,135 |
| 2016 | 71,700 | 1.6 | 59,525 | 12,175 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 12.7 | -0.1 | 6.1 | 61.8 |
| Yukon |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 985 | 3.5 | 890 | 95 |
| 2006 | 1,225 | 4.1 | 1,115 | 110 |
| 2011 | 1,635 | 4.9 | 1,475 | 160 |
| 2016 | 1,815 | 5.1 | 1,580 | 235 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 84.3 | 1.7 | 77.5 | 147.4 |


| Northwest Territories |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 1,065 | 2.9 | 970 | 95 |
| 2006 | 1,035 | 2.5 | 985 | 50 |
| 2011 | 1,165 | 2.8 | 1,095 | 70 |
| 2016 | 1,360 | 3.3 | 1,215 | 145 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 27.7 | 0.4 | 25.3 | 52.6 |
| Nunavut | 425 |  | 1.6 | 405 |
| 2001 | 415 | 1.4 | 390 | 20 |
| 2006 | 450 | 1.4 | 440 | 25 |
| 2011 | 635 | 1.8 | 600 | 10 |
| 2016 | 49.4 | 0.2 | 48.1 | 75 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | $1,020,545$ | 4.5 | 953,300 | 67,245 |
| Canada less Quebec | $1,012,540$ | 4.3 | 951,975 | 60,565 |
| 2001 | $1,066,590$ | 4.2 | 975,560 | 91,030 |
| 2006 | $1,074,985$ | 4.0 | 966,110 | 108,875 |
| 2011 | 5.3 | -0.5 | 1.3 | 61.9 |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) |  |  |  |  |

Sources: Statistics Canada, censuses of population, 2001, 2006, 2011 and 2016.

| Provinces and territories | Language Spoken Most Often at Home |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { (100\%) } \end{aligned}$ | \% of Population | French (English in Quebec) ${ }^{47}$ | French and English ${ }^{48}$ |
| Newfoundland and Labrador |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 1,100 | 0.2 | 895 | 205 |
| 2006 | 835 | 0.2 | 655 | 180 |
| 2011 | 1,440 | 0.3 | 1,155 | 285 |
| 2016 | 1,415 | 0.3 | 960 | 455 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) ${ }^{49}$ | 28.6 | 0.1 | 7.3 | 122.0 |
| Prince Edward Island |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 2,925 | 2.2 | 2,710 | 215 |
| 2006 | 2,830 | 2.1 | 2,680 | 150 |
| 2011 | 2,765 | 2.0 | 2,480 | 285 |
| 2016 | 2,630 | 1.9 | 2,265 | 365 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -10.1 | -0.3 | -16.4 | 69.8 |
| Nova Scotia |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 20,615 | 2.3 | 19,025 | 1,590 |
| 2006 | 18,580 | 2.1 | 17,245 | 1,335 |
| 2011 | 18,050 | 2.0 | 16,060 | 1,990 |
| 2016 | 17,040 | 1.9 | 14,535 | 2,505 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -17.3 | -0.4 | -23.6 | 57.5 |
| New Brunswick |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 220,505 | 30.6 | 215,125 | 5,380 |
| 2006 | 216,115 | 30.0 | 211,790 | 4,325 |
| 2011 | 216,450 | 29.3 | 210,060 | 6,390 |
| 2016 | 214,230 | 29.1 | 206,660 | 7,570 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -2.8 | -1.5 | -3.9 | 40.7 |
| Quebec |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 796,855 | 11.2 | 725,495 | 71,360 |
| 2006 | 835,360 | 11.2 | 770,995 | 64,365 |
| 2011 | 912,360 | 11.7 | 811,180 | 101,180 |
| 2016 | 965,615 | 12.0 | 836,585 | 129,030 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 21.2 | 0.8 | 15.3 | 80.8 |

[^33]| Ontario |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 326,030 | 2.9 | 292,905 | 33,125 |
| 2006 | 321,555 | 2.7 | 292,100 | 29,455 |
| 2011 | 340,275 | 2.7 | 290,485 | 49,790 |
| 2016 | 348,270 | 2.6 | 283,765 | 64,505 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 6.8 | -0.3 | -3.1 | 94.7 |
| Manitoba |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 22,120 | 2.0 | 19,765 | 2,355 |
| 2006 | 21,550 | 1.9 | 19,625 | 1,925 |
| 2011 | 21,550 | 1.8 | 18,580 | 2,970 |
| 2016 | 21,260 | 1.7 | 17,285 | 3,975 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -3.9 | -0.3 | -12.5 | 68.8 |
| Saskatchewan |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 5,215 | 0.5 | 4,415 | 800 |
| 2006 | 4,775 | 0.5 | 3,910 | 865 |
| 2011 | 5,540 | 0.5 | 4,500 | 1,040 |
| 2016 | 5,840 | 0.5 | 4,130 | 1,710 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 12.0 | 0.0 | -6.5 | 113.8 |
| Alberta |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 22,735 | 0.8 | 18,935 | 3,800 |
| 2006 | 23,515 | 0.7 | 19,780 | 3,735 |
| 2011 | 32,385 | 0.9 | 25,800 | 6,585 |
| 2016 | 38,600 | 1.0 | 28,750 | 9,850 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 69.8 | 0.2 | 51.8 | 159.2 |
| British Columbia |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 19,510 | 0.5 | 14,900 | 4,610 |
| 2006 | 19,990 | 0.5 | 15,790 | 4,200 |
| 2011 | 24,445 | 0.6 | 17,615 | 6,830 |
| 2016 | 26,670 | 0.6 | 17,450 | 9,220 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 36.7 | 0.1 | 17.1 | 100.0 |
| Yukon |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 445 | 1.6 | 415 | 30 |
| 2006 | 605 | 2.0 | 540 | 65 |
| 2011 | 945 | 2.8 | 825 | 120 |
| 2016 | 1,005 | 2.8 | 865 | 140 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 125.8 | 1.3 | 108.4 | 366.7 |


| Northwest Territories |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 405 | 1.1 | 355 | 50 |
| 2006 | 485 | 1.2 | 445 | 40 |
| 2011 | 605 | 1.5 | 550 | 55 |
| 2016 | 795 | 1.9 | 640 | 155 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 96.3 | 0.8 | 80.3 | 210.0 |
| Nunavut |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 230 | 0.9 | 220 | 10 |
| 2006 | 245 | 0.8 | 230 | 15 |
| 2011 | 255 | 0.8 | 250 | 5 |
| 2016 | 365 | 1.0 | 340 | 25 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 58.7 | 0.2 | 54.5 | 150.0 |
| Canada less Quebec |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 641,860 | 2.9 | 589,675 | 52,185 |
| 2006 | 631,080 | 2.7 | 584,790 | 46,290 |
| 2011 | 664,720 | 2.6 | 588,370 | 76,350 |
| 2016 | 678,135 | 2.5 | 577,665 | 100,470 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 5.7 | -0.3 | -2.0 | 92.5 |
| Soures Statics Canada |  |  |  |  |

Sources: Statistics Canada, censuses of population, 2001, 2006, 2011 and 2016.

| Provinces and territories | Language Spoken at Least Regularly at Home |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total (100\%) | \% of Population | French (English in Quebec) ${ }^{50}$ | French and English ${ }^{51}$ |
| Newfoundland and Labrador |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 3,075 | 0.6 | 2,860 | 215 |
| 2006 | 3,090 | 0.6 | 2,910 | 180 |
| 2011 | 4,290 | 0.8 | 3,985 | 305 |
| 2016 | 4,670 | 0.9 | 4,190 | 480 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) ${ }^{52}$ | 51.9 | 0.3 | 46.5 | 123.3 |
| Prince Edward Island |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 5,195 | 3.9 | 4,980 | 215 |
| 2006 | 5,245 | 3.9 | 5,095 | 150 |
| 2011 | 5,540 | 4.0 | 5,230 | 310 |
| 2016 | 5,535 | 3.9 | 5,155 | 380 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 6.5 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 76.7 |
| Nova Scotia |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 33,680 | 3.8 | 32,050 | 1,630 |
| 2006 | 33,525 | 3.7 | 32,060 | 1,465 |
| 2011 | 34,415 | 3.8 | 32,330 | 2,085 |
| 2016 | 34,060 | 3.7 | 31,395 | 2,665 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 1.1 | 0.0 | -2.0 | 63.5 |
| New Brunswick |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 245,685 | 34.1 | 240,235 | 5,450 |
| 2006 | 244,110 | 33.9 | 239,685 | 4,425 |
| 2011 | 245,395 | 33.2 | 238,875 | 6,520 |
| 2016 | 244,785 | 33.2 | 237,025 | 7,760 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -0.4 | -0.9 | -1.3 | 42.4 |
| Quebec |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 1,190,430 | 16.7 | 1,097,995 | 92,435 |
| 2006 | 1,274,610 | 17.1 | 1,187,320 | 87,290 |
| 2011 | 1,427,855 | 18.3 | 1,295,105 | 132,750 |
| 2016 | 1,545,305 | 19.2 | 1,378,620 | 166,685 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 29.8 | 2.5 | 25.6 | 80.3 |

[^34]| Ontario |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 528,655 | 4.7 | 491,080 | 37,575 |
| 2006 | 544,025 | 4.5 | 509,260 | 34,765 |
| 2011 | 595,910 | 4.7 | 538,800 | 57,110 |
| 2016 | 617,245 | 4.6 | 544,175 | 73,070 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 16.8 | 0.0 | 10.8 | 94.5 |
| Manitoba |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 39,800 | 3.6 | 37,360 | 2,440 |
| 2006 | 39,475 | 3.5 | 37,505 | 1,970 |
| 2011 | 42,560 | 3.6 | 39,345 | 3,215 |
| 2016 | 42,545 | 3.4 | 38,250 | 4,295 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 6.9 | -0.2 | 2.4 | 76.0 |
| Saskatchewan |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 13,485 | 1.4 | 12,630 | 855 |
| 2006 | 12,725 | 1.3 | 11,745 | 980 |
| 2011 | 14,905 | 1.5 | 13,740 | 1,165 |
| 2016 | 15,870 | 1.5 | 13,965 | 1,905 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 17.7 | 0.1 | 10.6 | 122.8 |
| Alberta |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 52,890 | 1.8 | 48,845 | 4,045 |
| 2006 | 56,690 | 1.7 | 52,560 | 4,130 |
| 2011 | 74,210 | 2.1 | 66,575 | 7,635 |
| 2016 | 84,855 | 2.1 | 73,385 | 11,470 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 60.4 | 0.3 | 50.2 | 183.6 |
| British Columbia |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 53,610 | 1.4 | 48,430 | 5,180 |
| 2006 | 57,100 | 1.4 | 52,070 | 5,030 |
| 2011 | 69,535 | 1.6 | 61,545 | 7,990 |
| 2016 | 72,750 | 1.6 | 62,235 | 10,515 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 35.7 | 0.2 | 28.5 | 103.0 |
| Yukon |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 865 | 3.0 | 835 | 30 |
| 2006 | 1,255 | 4.2 | 1,190 | 65 |
| 2011 | 1,725 | 5.1 | 1,600 | 125 |
| 2016 | 1,960 | 5.5 | 1,800 | 160 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 126.6 | 2.5 | 115.6 | 433.3 |


| Northwest Territories |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 985 | 2.7 | 925 | 60 |
| 2006 | 995 | 2.4 | 940 | 55 |
| 2011 | 1,265 | 3.1 | 1,205 | 60 |
| 2016 | 1,635 | 4.0 | 1,470 | 165 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 66.0 | 1.3 | 58.9 | 175.0 |
| Nunavut |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 435 | 1.6 | 415 | 20 |
| 2006 | 435 | 1.5 | 420 | 15 |
| 2011 | 525 | 1.7 | 515 | 10 |
| 2016 | 620 | 1.7 | 595 | 25 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 42.5 | 0.1 | 43.4 | 25.0 |
| Canada less Quebec |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 978,360 | 4.3 | 920,645 | 57,715 |
| 2006 | 998,670 | 4.2 | 945,440 | 53,230 |
| 2011 | $1,090,300$ | 4.3 | $1,003,750$ | 86,550 |
| 2016 | $1,126,545$ | 4.2 | $1,013,680$ | 112,865 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 15.1 | -0.1 | 10.1 | 95.6 |

Sources: Statistics Canada, censuses of population, 2001, 2006, 2011 and 2016.

| Provinces and territories | Knowledge of Official Languages |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total (100\%) | \% of Population | French (English in Quebec) | French and English |
| Newfoundland and Labrador |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 21,035 | 4.1 | 145 | 20,890 |
| 2006 | 23,765 | 4.7 | 85 | 23,680 |
| 2011 | 23,585 | 4.6 | 135 | 23,450 |
| 2016 | 26,055 | 5.1 | 115 | 25,940 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) ${ }^{53}$ | 23.9 | 0.9 | -20.7 | 24.2 |
| Prince Edward Island |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 16,085 | 12.1 | 95 | 15,990 |
| 2006 | 17,160 | 12.8 | 60 | 17,100 |
| 2011 | 17,135 | 12.4 | 130 | 17,005 |
| 2016 | 17,950 | 12.7 | 115 | 17,835 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 11.6 | 0.7 | 21.1 | 11.5 |
| Nova Scotia |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 91,055 | 10.1 | 790 | 90,265 |
| 2006 | 96,015 | 10.6 | 1,005 | 95,010 |
| 2011 | 94,310 | 10.4 | 875 | 93,435 |
| 2016 | 96,085 | 10.5 | 705 | 95,380 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 5.5 | 0.4 | -10.8 | 5.7 |
| New Brunswick |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 312,285 | 43.4 | 66,415 | 245,870 |
| 2006 | 313,840 | 43.6 | 73,755 | 240,085 |
| 2011 | 312,260 | 42.2 | 66,375 | 245,885 |
| 2016 | 313,100 | 42.5 | 63,145 | 249,955 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 0.3 | -0.9 | -4.9 | 1.7 |
| Quebec |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 3,234,740 | 45.4 | 327,040 | 2,907,700 |
| 2006 | 3,354,650 | 45.1 | 336,785 | 3,017,865 |
| 2011 | 3,692,585 | 47.2 | 363,860 | 3,328,725 |
| 2016 | 3,958,855 | 49.1 | 372,450 | 3,586,405 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 22.4 | 3.7 | 13.9 | 23.3 |

[^35]| Ontario |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 1,362,020 | 12.1 | 42,305 | 1,319,715 |
| 2006 | 1,426,540 | 11.9 | 49,210 | 1,377,330 |
| 2011 | 1,438,790 | 11.3 | 42,980 | 1,395,810 |
| 2016 | 1,530,435 | 11.5 | 40,045 | 1,490,390 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 12.4 | -0.6 | -5.3 | 12.9 |
| Manitoba |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 104,095 | 9.4 | 1,250 | 102,845 |
| 2006 | 105,455 | 9.3 | 1,930 | 103,525 |
| 2011 | 104,635 | 8.8 | 1,490 | 103,145 |
| 2016 | 109,935 | 8.7 | 1,480 | 108,455 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 5.6 | -0.7 | 18.4 | 5.5 |
| Saskatchewan |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 49,360 | 5.1 | 360 | 49,000 |
| 2006 | 47,940 | 5.0 | 485 | 47,455 |
| 2011 | 46,995 | 4.6 | 425 | 46,570 |
| 2016 | 51,895 | 4.8 | 535 | 51,360 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 5.1 | -0.3 | 48.6 | 4.8 |
| Alberta |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 204,800 | 7.0 | 1,895 | 202,905 |
| 2006 | 225,085 | 6.9 | 2,200 | 222,885 |
| 2011 | 238,770 | 6.6 | 3,205 | 235,565 |
| 2016 | 268,610 | 6.7 | 3,895 | 264,715 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 31.2 | -0.3 | 105.5 | 30.5 |
| British Columbia |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 271,175 | 7.0 | 1,810 | 269,365 |
| 2006 | 297,720 | 7.3 | 2,075 | 295,645 |
| 2011 | 298,695 | 6.9 | 2,050 | 296,645 |
| 2016 | 316,730 | 6.9 | 1,805 | 314,925 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 16.8 | -0.1 | -0.3 | 16.9 |
| Yukon |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 2,940 | 10.3 | 45 | 2,895 |
| 2006 | 3,550 | 11.8 | 105 | 3,445 |
| 2011 | 4,510 | 13.4 | 90 | 4,420 |
| 2016 | 4,990 | 14.0 | 90 | 4,900 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 69.7 | 3.7 | 100.0 | 69.3 |


| Northwest Territories |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 3,170 | 8.5 | 40 | 3,130 |
| 2006 | 3,720 | 9.1 | 55 | 3,665 |
| 2011 | 3,765 | 9.2 | 50 | 3,715 |
| 2016 | 4,340 | 10.5 | 65 | 4,275 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 36.9 | 1.9 | 62.5 | 36.6 |
| Nunavut |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 1,035 | 3.9 | 25 | 1,010 |
| 2006 | 1,185 | 4.0 | 20 | 1,165 |
| 2011 | 1,240 | 3.9 | 35 | 1,205 |
| 2016 | 53.1 | 4.4 | 60 | 1,525 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | $2,439,050$ | 10.8 | 1150,0 | 51.0 |
| Canada less Quebec | $2,561,975$ | 10.8 | 130,985 | $2,430,990$ |
| 2001 | $2,584,685$ | 10.2 | 117,840 | $2,466,845$ |
| 2006 | $2,741,720$ | 10.3 | 112,055 | $2,629,665$ |
| 2011 | 12.4 | -0.6 | -2.7 | 13.2 |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) |  |  |  |  |

Sources: Statistics Canada, censuses of population, 2001, 2006, 2011 and 2016.

| Provinces and territories | First Official Language Spoken |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { (100\%) } \end{aligned}$ | \% of Population | French (English in Quebec) | French and English |
| Newfoundland and Labrador |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 2,155 | 0.4 | 2,055 | 100 |
| 2006 | 2,030 | 0.4 | 1,835 | 195 |
| 2011 | 2,205 | 0.4 | 1,995 | 210 |
| 2016 | 2,605 | 0.5 | 2,255 | 350 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) ${ }^{54}$ | 20.9 | 0.1 | 9.7 | 250.0 |
| Prince Edward Island |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 5,315 | 4.0 | 5,235 | 80 |
| 2006 | 5,180 | 3.9 | 5,085 | 95 |
| 2011 | 4,905 | 3.5 | 4,720 | 185 |
| 2016 | 4.785 | 3.4 | 4,550 | 235 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -10.0 | -0.6 | -13.1 | 193.8 |
| Nova Scotia |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 34,360 | 3.8 | 33,175 | 1,185 |
| 2006 | 32,935 | 3.6 | 31,510 | 1,425 |
| 2011 | 31,110 | 3.4 | 29,550 | 1,560 |
| 2016 | 30,250 | 3.3 | 28,490 | 1,760 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -12.0 | -0.5 | -14.1 | 48.5 |
| New Brunswick |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 239,285 | 33.2 | 237,620 | 1,665 |
| 2006 | 236,100 | 32.8 | 234,155 | 1,945 |
| 2011 | 236,985 | 32.0 | 234,410 | 2,575 |
| 2016 | 235,660 | 32.0 | 232,450 | 3,210 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -1.5 | -1.2 | -2.2 | 92.8 |
| Quebec |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 1,009,180 | 14.2 | 828,730 | 180,450 |
| 2006 | 1,104,000 | 14.8 | 885,445 | 218,555 |
| 2011 | 1,180,865 | 15.1 | 935,635 | 245,230 |
| 2016 | 1,242,835 | 15.4 | 964,125 | 278,710 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 23.2 | 1.2 | 16.3 | 54.5 |

[^36]| Ontario |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 565,510 | 5.0 | 489,905 | 75,605 |
| 2006 | 578,040 | 4.8 | 497,150 | 80,890 |
| 2011 | 584,495 | 4.6 | 500,270 | 84,225 |
| 2016 | 597,070 | 4.5 | 504,130 | 92,940 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 5.6 | -0.5 | 2.9 | 22.9 |
| Manitoba |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 44,355 | 4.0 | 42,415 | 1,940 |
| 2006 | 44,110 | 3.9 | 42,125 | 1,985 |
| 2011 | 42,740 | 3.6 | 40,000 | 2,740 |
| 2016 | 42,750 | 3.4 | 39,205 | 3,545 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -3.6 | -0.6 | -7.6 | 82.7 |
| Saskatchewan |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 16,890 | 1.8 | 16,205 | 685 |
| 2006 | 15,220 | 1.6 | 14,475 | 745 |
| 2011 | 14,875 | 1.5 | 13,710 | 1,165 |
| 2016 | 15,325 | 1.4 | 13,555 | 1,770 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -9.3 | -0.3 | -16.4 | 158.4 |
| Alberta |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 62,005 | 2.1 | 55,650 | 6,355 |
| 2006 | 67,000 | 2.1 | 58,575 | 8,425 |
| 2011 | 77,630 | 2.2 | 65,105 | 12,525 |
| 2016 | 88,140 | 2.2 | 71,535 | 16,605 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 42.1 | 0.1 | 28.5 | 161.3 |
| British Columbia |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 66,770 | 1.7 | 51,975 | 14,795 |
| 2006 | 70,405 | 1.7 | 53,060 | 17,345 |
| 2011 | 70,655 | 1.6 | 53,725 | 16,930 |
| 2016 | 73,320 | 1.6 | 55,320 | 18,000 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 9.8 | -0.1 | 6.4 | 21.7 |
| Yukon |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 915 | 3.2 | 850 | 65 |
| 2006 | 1,240 | 4.1 | 1,120 | 120 |
| 2011 | 1,545 | 4.6 | 1,420 | 125 |
| 2016 | 1,700 | 4.8 | 1,575 | 125 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 85.8 | 1.6 | 85.3 | 92.3 |


| Northwest Territories |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 1,065 | 2.6 | 875 | 80 |
| 2006 | 1,130 | 2.6 | 950 | 115 |
| 2011 | 1,315 | 3.2 | 1,030 | 100 |
| 2016 | 37.7 | 0.6 | 33.1 | 150 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) |  |  |  | 87.5 |
| Nunavut | 440 | 1.7 | 380 | 60 |
| 2001 | 465 | 1.6 | 385 | 80 |
| 2006 | 505 | 1.6 | 450 | 55 |
| 2011 | 675 | 1.9 | 585 | 90 |
| 2016 | 53.4 | 0.2 | 53.9 | 50.0 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Canada less Quebec | $1,038,955$ | 4.6 | 936,340 | 102,615 |
| 2001 | $1,053,815$ | 4.4 | 940,445 | 113,370 |
| 2006 | $1,068,780$ | 4.2 | 946,375 | 122,405 |
| 2011 | $1,093,585$ | 4.1 | 954,810 | 138,775 |
| 2016 | 5.3 | -0.5 | 2.0 | 35.2 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 5.3 |  |  |  |

Sources: Statistics Canada, censuses of population, 2001, 2006, 2011 and 2016.

| Table 6. Population by minority language used most often at work, by province and territory, 200 to 2016 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Provinces and territories | Language Used Most Often at Work |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & (100 \%) \end{aligned}$ | \% of Population | French (English in Quebec) ${ }^{55}$ | French and English ${ }^{56}$ |
| Newfoundland and Labrador |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 935 | 0.4 | 705 | 230 |
| 2006 | 1,135 | 0.4 | 860 | 275 |
| 2011 | 1,260 | 0.4 | 930 | 330 |
| 2016 | 1,440 | 0.5 | 950 | 490 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) ${ }^{57}$ | 54.0 | 0.1 | 34.8 | 113.0 |
| Prince Edward Island |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 1,400 | 1.8 | 1,110 | 290 |
| 2006 | 1,490 | 1.8 | 1,055 | 435 |
| 2011 | 1,540 | 1.8 | 1,190 | 350 |
| 2016 | 1,465 | 1.7 | 1,075 | 390 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 4.6 | 0.0 | -3.2 | 34.5 |
| Nova Scotia |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 9,490 | 2.0 | 7,360 | 2,130 |
| 2006 | 9,240 | 1.8 | 7,020 | 2,220 |
| 2011 | 8,620 | 1.7 | 6,670 | 1,950 |
| 2016 | 8,475 | 1.6 | 6,135 | 2,340 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -10.7 | -0.3 | -16.6 | 9.9 |
| New Brunswick |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 110,660 | 27.4 | 94,195 | 16,465 |
| 2006 | 111,825 | 26.8 | 99,085 | 12,740 |
| 2011 | 110,455 | 25.8 | 93,985 | 16,470 |
| 2016 | 107,330 | 25.9 | 89,240 | 18,090 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -3.0 | -1.4 | -5.3 | 9.9 |
| Quebec |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 699,175 | 17.8 | 491,300 | 207,875 |
| 2006 | 731,395 | 17.1 | 536,875 | 194,520 |
| 2011 | 776,170 | 17.5 | 531,655 | 244,515 |
| 2016 | 876,095 | 19.3 | 548,265 | 327,830 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 25.3 | 1.6 | 11.6 | 57.7 |

[^37]| Ontario |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 138,470 | 2.1 | 88,980 | 49,490 |
| 2006 | 142,695 | 2.0 | 98,200 | 44,495 |
| 2011 | 150,520 | 2.1 | 94,755 | 55,765 |
| 2016 | 160,310 | 2.1 | 94,320 | 65,990 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 15.8 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 33.3 |
| Manitoba |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 8,140 | 1.3 | 6,360 | 1,780 |
| 2006 | 8,985 | 1.4 | 7,320 | 1,665 |
| 2011 | 8,135 | 1.2 | 5,940 | 2,195 |
| 2016 | 9,165 | 1.3 | 6,470 | 2,695 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 12.6 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 51.4 |
| Saskatchewan |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 2,145 | 0.4 | 1,770 | 375 |
| 2006 | 2,535 | 0.4 | 1,945 | 590 |
| 2011 | 2,280 | 0.4 | 1,900 | 380 |
| 2016 | 2,670 | 0.4 | 2,000 | 670 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 24.5 | 0.0 | 13.0 | 78.7 |
| Alberta |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 7.495 | 0.4 | 4,995 | 2,500 |
| 2006 | 8,555 | 0.4 | 5,595 | 2,960 |
| 2011 | 9,480 | 0.4 | 6,395 | 3,085 |
| 2016 | 11,440 | 0.5 | 6,615 | 4,825 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 52.6 | 0.1 | 32.4 | 93.0 |
| British Columbia |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 7,325 | 0.3 | 4,650 | 2,675 |
| 2006 | 8,980 | 0.4 | 5,650 | 3,330 |
| 2011 | 8,885 | 0.4 | 5,430 | 3,455 |
| 2016 | 10,860 | 0.4 | 6,195 | 4,665 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 48.3 | 0.1 | 33.2 | 74.4 |
| Yukon |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 175 | 0.9 | 110 | 65 |
| 2006 | 230 | 1.1 | 190 | 40 |
| 2011 | 220 | 0.9 | 170 | 50 |
| 2016 | 345 | 1.5 | 260 | 85 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 97.1 | 0.6 | 136.4 | 30.8 |


| Northwest Territories |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 150 | 0.7 | 120 | 30 |
| 2006 | 210 | 0.8 | 185 | 25 |
| 2011 | 225 | 0.9 | 205 | 20 |
| 2016 | 280 | 1.1 | 205 | 75 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 86.7 | 0.4 | 70.8 | 150.0 |
| Nunavut |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 40 | 0.3 | 40 | 0 |
| 2006 | 80 | 0.6 | 70 | 10 |
| 2011 | 60 | 0.4 | 60 | 0 |
| 2016 | 85 | 0.5 | 75 | 10 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 112.5 | 0.2 | 87.5 | - |
| Canada less Quebec |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 286,450 | 2.2 | 210,430 | 76,020 |
| 2006 | 295,975 | 2.1 | 227,180 | 68,795 |
| 2011 | 301,720 | 2.1 | 217,650 | 84,070 |
| 2016 | 313,860 | 2.0 | 213,530 | 100,330 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 9.6 | -0.2 | 1.5 | 32.0 |

[^38]| Table 7. Population by minority language used at least regularly at work, by province and territory, 2001 to 2016 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Provinces and territories | Language Used at Least Regularly at Work |  |  |  |
|  | Total (100\%) | \% of Population | French (English in Quebec) ${ }^{58}$ | French and English ${ }^{59}$ |
| Newfoundland and Labrador |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 3,045 | 1.2 | 2,815 | 230 |
| 2006 | 4,005 | 1.5 | 3,730 | 275 |
| 2011 | 3,480 | 1.2 | 3,150 | 330 |
| 2016 | 4,145 | 1.4 | 3,655 | 490 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) ${ }^{60}$ | 36.1 | 0.3 | 29.8 | 113.0 |
| Prince Edward Island |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 4,010 | 5.0 | 3,720 | 290 |
| 2006 | 4,550 | 5.5 | 4,115 | 435 |
| 2011 | 3,860 | 4.5 | 3,510 | 350 |
| 2016 | 3,925 | 4.6 | 3,535 | 390 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -2.1 | -0.4 | -5.0 | 34.5 |
| Nova Scotia |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 22,445 | 4.6 | 20,295 | 2,150 |
| 2006 | 23,610 | 4.6 | 21,370 | 2,240 |
| 2011 | 21,840 | 4.2 | 19,870 | 1,970 |
| 2016 | 22,125 | 4.3 | 19,775 | 2,350 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -1.4 | -0.3 | -2.6 | 9.3 |
| New Brunswick |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 149,780 | 37.1 | 133,305 | 16,475 |
| 2006 | 156,370 | 37.5 | 143,615 | 12,755 |
| 2011 | 153,830 | 35.9 | 137,360 | 16,470 |
| 2016 | 151,905 | 36.7 | 133,815 | 18,090 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 1.4 | -0.3 | 0.4 | 9.8 |
| Quebec |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 1,556,600 | 39.5 | 1,346,785 | 209,815 |
| 2006 | 1,722,830 | 40.4 | 1,526,330 | 196,500 |
| 2011 | 1,734,890 | 39.2 | 1,488,750 | 246,140 |
| 2016 | 1,924,630 | 42.5 | 1,594,550 | 330,080 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 23.6 | 3.0 | 18.4 | 57.3 |

[^39]| Ontario |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 381,305 | 5.9 | 331,525 | 49,780 |
| 2006 | 411,345 | 5.8 | 366,530 | 44,815 |
| 2011 | 398,125 | 5.5 | 342,020 | 56,105 |
| 2016 | 426,720 | 5.6 | 360,450 | 66,270 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 11.9 | -0.2 | 8.7 | 33.1 |
| Manitoba |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 21,900 | 3.5 | 20,080 | 1,820 |
| 2006 | 23,980 | 3.6 | 22,305 | 1,675 |
| 2011 | 21,530 | 3.2 | 19,335 | 2,195 |
| 2016 | 22,830 | 3.2 | 20,125 | 2,705 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 4.2 | -0.3 | 0.2 | 48.6 |
| Saskatchewan |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 6,540 | 1.2 | 6,165 | 375 |
| 2006 | 6,990 | 1.2 | 6,400 | 590 |
| 2011 | 6,195 | 1.0 | 5,815 | 380 |
| 2016 | 6,645 | 1.0 | 5,975 | 670 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 1.6 | -0.1 | -3.1 | 78.7 |
| Alberta |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 28,055 | 1.5 | 25,530 | 2,525 |
| 2006 | 32,520 | 1.5 | 29,540 | 2,980 |
| 2011 | 31,195 | 1.4 | 28,090 | 3,105 |
| 2016 | 36,620 | 1.5 | 31,760 | 4,860 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 30.5 | 0.0 | 24.4 | 92.5 |
| British Columbia |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 30,690 | 1.4 | 27,955 | 2,735 |
| 2006 | 35,605 | 1.5 | 32,165 | 3,440 |
| 2011 | 32,750 | 1.3 | 29,270 | 3,480 |
| 2016 | 35,460 | 1.3 | 30,725 | 4,735 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 15.5 | -0.1 | 9.9 | 73.1 |
| Yukon |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 630 | 3.3 | 565 | 65 |
| 2006 | 840 | 4.0 | 790 | 50 |
| 2011 | 1,050 | 4.5 | 1,000 | 50 |
| 2016 | 1,190 | 5.1 | 1,105 | 85 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 88.9 | 1.8 | 95.6 | 30.8 |


| Northwest Territories |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 540 | 2.4 | 510 | 30 |
| 2006 | 690 | 2.7 | 665 | 25 |
| 2011 | 865 | 3.5 | 845 | 20 |
| 2016 | 920 | 3.6 | 845 | 75 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 70.4 | 1.2 | 65.7 | 150.0 |
| Nunavut |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 300 | 2.4 | 300 | 0 |
| 2006 | 345 | 2.4 | 335 | 10 |
| 2011 | 350 | 2.4 | 350 | 0 |
| 2016 | 505 | 3.0 | 485 | 20 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 68.3 | 0.6 | 61.7 | - |
| Canada less Quebec |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 649,275 | 5.0 | 572,800 | 76,475 |
| 2006 | 700,850 | 5.0 | 631,570 | 69,280 |
| 2011 | 675,175 | 4.6 | 590,655 | 84,520 |
| 2016 | 712,975 | 4.6 | 612,245 | 100,730 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 9.8 | -0.4 | 6.9 | 31.7 |

[^40]| Table 8. Minority mother tongue population and non-official mother tongue population who knows the minority language, by <br> province and territory, 2001 to 2016 |
| :--- |
| Provinces and territories |

[^41]| Nova Scotia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 39,610 | 4.4 | 34,155 | 40 | 5,415 | 2,595 | 2,820 |
| 2006 | 38,375 | 4.2 | 32,675 | 35 | 5,665 | 2,240 | 3,425 |
| 2011 | 37,900 | 4.2 | 31,425 | 70 | 6,405 | 3,165 | 3,240 |
| 2016 | 36,860 | 4.0 | 29,690 | 55 | 7,115 | 3,655 | 3,460 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -6.9 | -0.4 | -13.1 | 37.5 | 31.4 | 40.8 | 22.7 |
| New Brunswick |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 244,235 | 33.9 | 236,770 | 85 | 7,380 | 5,290 | 2,090 |
| 2006 | 241,185 | 33.5 | 233,095 | 515 | 7,575 | 4,475 | 3,100 |
| 2011 | 243,425 | 32.9 | 233,780 | 310 | 9,335 | 6,675 | 2,660 |
| 2016 | 242,570 | 32.9 | 231,440 | 435 | 10,695 | 7,425 | 3,270 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -0.7 | -1.0 | -2.3 | 411.8 | 44.9 | 40.4 | 56.5 |
| Quebec |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | $1,117,655$ | 15.7 | 572,090 | 132,940 | 412,625 | 55,415 | 357,210 |
| 2006 | $1,238,175$ | 16.7 | 591,760 | 152,775 | 493,640 | 48,855 | 444,785 |
| 2011 | $1,343,105$ | 17.2 | 622,660 | 162,695 | 557,750 | 77,750 | 480,000 |
| 2016 | $1,432,810$ | 17.8 | 628,645 | 174,360 | 629,805 | 90,345 | 539,460 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 28.2 | 2.1 | 9.9 | 31.2 | 52.6 | 63.0 | 51.0 |
| Ontario |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 721,410 | 6.4 | 493,630 | 5,365 | 222,415 | 40,335 | 182,080 |
| 2006 | 744,375 | 6.2 | 496,600 | 5,430 | 242,345 | 36,255 | 206,090 |
| 2011 | 764,055 | 6.0 | 506,945 | 6,375 | 250,735 | 54,215 | 196,520 |
| 2016 | 789,175 | 5.9 | 503,280 | 6,130 | 279,765 | 65,055 | 214,710 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 9.4 | -0.5 | 2.0 | 14.3 | 25.8 | 61.3 | 17.9 |


| Manitoba |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 54,050 | 4.9 | 44,775 | 140 | 9,135 | 2,780 | 6,355 |
| 2006 | 53,935 | 4.8 | 44,390 | 175 | 9,370 | 2,720 | 6,650 |
| 2011 | 54,265 | 4.5 | 43,510 | 225 | 10,530 | 4,155 | 6,375 |
| 2016 | 53,810 | 4.3 | 41,220 | 300 | 12,290 | 4,840 | 7,450 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -0.4 | -0.6 | -7.9 | 114.3 | 34.5 | 74.1 | 17.2 |
| Saskatchewan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 21,960 | 2.3 | 18,040 | 80 | 3,840 | 1,490 | 2,350 |
| 2006 | 20,150 | 2.1 | 16,300 | 60 | 3,790 | 1,275 | 2,515 |
| 2011 | 21,690 | 2.1 | 17,030 | 85 | 4,575 | 1,905 | 2,670 |
| 2016 | 21,600 | 2.0 | 15,415 | 105 | 6,080 | 2,325 | 3,755 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -1.6 | -0.3 | -14.6 | 31.3 | 58.3 | 56.0 | 59.8 |
| Alberta |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 85,360 | 2.9 | 59,735 | 330 | 25,295 | 6,255 | 19,040 |
| 2006 | 92,700 | 2.8 | 62,550 | 465 | 29,685 | 5,885 | 23,800 |
| 2011 | 109,350 | 3.0 | 71,490 | 810 | 37,050 | 9,595 | 27,455 |
| 2016 | 122,465 | 3.0 | 74,835 | 1,145 | 46,485 | 11,865 | 34,620 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 43.5 | 0.1 | 25.3 | 247.0 | 83.8 | 89.7 | 81.8 |
| British Columbia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 105,540 | 2.7 | 56,105 | 680 | 48,755 | 7,525 | 41,230 |
| 2006 | 113,315 | 2.8 | 56,585 | 595 | 56,135 | 6,710 | 49,425 |
| 2011 | 116,325 | 2.7 | 60,630 | 660 | 55,035 | 10,135 | 44,900 |
| 2016 | 119,470 | 2.6 | 59,525 | 585 | 59,360 | 12,175 | 47,185 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 13.2 | -0.1 | 6.1 | -14.0 | 21.8 | 61.8 | 14.4 |


| Yukon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 1,200 | 4.2 | 890 | 0 | 310 | 95 | 215 |
| 2006 | 1,555 | 5.1 | 1,115 | 20 | 420 | 110 | 310 |
| 2011 | 1,915 | 5.7 | 1,475 | 5 | 435 | 160 | 275 |
| 2016 | 2,135 | 6.0 | 1,580 | 5 | 550 | 235 | 315 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 77.9 | 1.8 | 77.5 | N/A | 77.4 | 147.4 | 46.5 |
| Northwest Territories |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 1,285 | 3.5 | 970 | 5 | 310 | 95 | 215 |
| 2006 | 1,360 | 3.3 | 985 | 15 | 360 | 50 | 310 |
| 2011 | 1,430 | 3.5 | 1,095 | 5 | 330 | 70 | 260 |
| 2016 | 1,675 | 4.0 | 1,215 | 5 | 455 | 145 | 310 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 30.4 | 0.6 | 25.3 | 0.0 | 46.8 | 52.6 | 44.2 |
| Nunavut |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 565 | 2.1 | 405 | 5 | 155 | 20 | 135 |
| 2006 | 615 | 2.1 | 390 | 20 | 205 | 25 | 180 |
| 2011 | 610 | 1.9 | 440 | 10 | 160 | 10 | 150 |
| 2016 | 855 | 2.4 | 600 | 5 | 250 | 35 | 215 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 51.3 | 0.3 | 48.1 | 0.0 | 61.3 | 75.0 | 59.3 |
| Canada less Quebec |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 1,284,375 | 5.7 | 953,300 | 6,740 | 324,335 | 67,245 | 257,090 |
| 2006 | 1,316,555 | 5.5 | 951,975 | 7,305 | 357,275 | 60,565 | 296,710 |
| 2011 | 1,360,510 | 5.4 | 975,560 | 8,575 | 376,375 | 91,030 | 285,345 |
| 2016 | 1,400,195 | 5.2 | 966,110 | 8,800 | 425,285 | 108,875 | 316,410 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 9.0 | -0.5 | 1.3 | 30.6 | 31.1 | 61.9 | 23.1 |

Sources: Statistics Canada, censuses of population, 2001, 2006, 2011 and 2016. N/A: Not applicable.

| Provinces and territories | Language Spoken Most Often at Home |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total (100\%) | \% of Population | French (English in Quebec) ${ }^{65}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { French } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { English }^{66} \end{aligned}$ |
| Newfoundland and Labrador |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 885 | 0.2 | 795 | 90 |
| 2006 | 690 | 0.1 | 605 | 85 |
| 2011 | 830 | 0.2 | 690 | 140 |
| 2016 | 1,040 | 0.2 | 815 | 225 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) ${ }^{67}$ | 17.5 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 150.0 |
| Prince Edward Island |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 2,735 | 2.1 | 2,560 | 175 |
| 2006 | 2,715 | 2.0 | 2,590 | 125 |
| 2011 | 2,470 | 1.8 | 2,260 | 210 |
| 2016 | 2.400 | 1.7 | 2,150 | 250 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -12.2 | -0.3 | -16.0 | 42.9 |
| Nova Scotia |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 19,195 | 2.1 | 18,045 | 1,150 |
| 2006 | 17,360 | 1.9 | 16,360 | 1,000 |
| 2011 | 16,285 | 1.8 | 14,850 | 1,435 |
| 2016 | 15,385 | 1.7 | 13,665 | 1,720 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -19.8 | -0.5 | -24.3 | 49.6 |
| New Brunswick |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 214,610 | 29.8 | 210,290 | 4,320 |
| 2006 | 209,325 | 29.1 | 205,820 | 3,505 |
| 2011 | 209,990 | 28.4 | 204,725 | 5,265 |
| 2016 | 207,500 | 28.2 | 201,310 | 6,190 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -3.3 | -1.6 | -4.3 | 43.3 |

[^42]| Quebec |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 539,465 | 7.6 | 509,170 | 30,295 |
| 2006 | 553,905 | 7.4 | 527,240 | 26,665 |
| 2011 | 594,890 | 7.6 | 553,135 | 41,755 |
| 2016 | 613,140 | 7.6 | 561,865 | 51,275 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 13.7 | 0.0 | 10.3 | 69.3 |
| Ontario |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 301,630 | 2.7 | 276,910 | 24,720 |
| 2006 | 294,895 | 2.5 | 273,470 | 21,425 |
| 2011 | 301,670 | 2.4 | 268,445 | 33,225 |
| 2016 | 302,540 | 2.3 | 262,170 | 40,370 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 0.3 | -0.4 | -5.3 | 63.3 |
| Manitoba |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 20,825 | 1.9 | 18,990 | 1,835 |
| 2006 | 20,045 | 1.8 | 18,555 | 1,490 |
| 2011 | 19,235 | 1.6 | 17,090 | 2,145 |
| 2016 | 18,620 | 1.5 | 16,020 | 2,600 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -10.6 | -0.4 | -15.6 | 41.7 |
| Saskatchewan |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 4,740 | 0.5 | 4,145 | 595 |
| 2006 | 4,315 | 0.5 | 3,670 | 645 |
| 2011 | 4,275 | 0.4 | 3,630 | 645 |
| 2016 | 4,565 | 0.4 | 3,645 | 920 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -3.7 | -0.1 | -12.1 | 54.6 |
| Alberta |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 20,025 | 0.7 | 17,275 | 2,750 |
| 2006 | 20,095 | 0.6 | 17,655 | 2,440 |
| 2011 | 26,345 | 0.7 | 21,975 | 4,370 |
| 2016 | 30,990 | 0.8 | 25,030 | 5,960 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 54.8 | 0.1 | 44.9 | 116.7 |
| British Columbia |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 16,035 | 0.4 | 12,915 | 3,120 |
| 2006 | 16,375 | 0.4 | 13,790 | 2,585 |
| 2011 | 18,205 | 0.4 | 14,335 | 3,870 |
| 2016 | 20,035 | 0.4 | 15,070 | 4,965 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 24.9 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 59.1 |


| Yukon |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 395 | 1.4 | 380 | 15 |
| 2006 | 545 | 1.8 | 495 | 50 |
| 2011 | 860 | 2.6 | 755 | 105 |
| 2016 | 910 | 2.6 | 805 | 105 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 130.4 | 1.2 | 111.8 | 600.0 |
| Northwest Territories | 370 | 1.0 | 330 | 40 |
| 2001 | 430 | 1.0 | 420 | 10 |
| 2006 | 515 | 1.3 | 480 | 35 |
| 2011 | 685 | 1.7 | 595 | 90 |
| 2016 | 85.1 | 0.7 | 80.3 | 125.0 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Nunavut | 205 | 0.8 | 195 | 10 |
| 2001 | 190 | 0.6 | 180 | 10 |
| 2006 | 215 | 0.7 | 215 | 0 |
| 2011 | 335 | 0.9 | 320 | 15 |
| 2016 | 63.4 | 0.2 | 64.1 | 50.0 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Canada less Quebec | 601,675 | 2.7 | 562,835 | 38,840 |
| 2001 | 586,975 | 2.5 | 553,610 | 33,365 |
| 2006 | 600,845 | 2.4 | 549,405 | 51,440 |
| 2011 | 604,965 | 2.3 | 541,560 | 63,405 |
| 2016 | 0.5 | -0.4 | -3.8 | 63.2 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) |  |  |  |  |

[^43]| Table 10. Minority mother tongue population ${ }^{68}$ by minority language spoken at least regularly a home, by province and territory, 2001 to 2016 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Provinces and territories | Language Spoken at Least Regularly at Home |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & (100 \%) \end{aligned}$ | \% of Population | French (English in Quebec) ${ }^{69}$ | French and English ${ }^{70}$ |
| Newfoundland and Labrador |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 1,385 | 0.3 | 1,295 | 90 |
| 2006 | 1,320 | 0.3 | 1,235 | 85 |
| 2011 | 1,460 | 0.3 | 1,320 | 140 |
| 2016 | 1,775 | 0.3 | 1,545 | 230 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) ${ }^{71}$ | 28.2 | 0.1 | 19.3 | 155.6 |
| Prince Edward Island |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 3,855 | 2.9 | 3,680 | 175 |
| 2006 | 3,810 | 2.8 | 3,685 | 125 |
| 2011 | 3,530 | 2.5 | 3,320 | 210 |
| 2016 | 3,485 | 2.5 | 3,230 | 255 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -9.6 | -0.4 | -12.2 | 45.7 |
| Nova Scotia |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 26,160 | 2.9 | 25,010 | 1,150 |
| 2006 | 24,430 | 2.7 | 23,430 | 1,000 |
| 2011 | 23,240 | 2.6 | 21,790 | 1,450 |
| 2016 | 22,450 | 2.5 | 20,720 | 1,730 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -14.2 | -0.5 | -17.2 | 50.4 |
| New Brunswick |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 227,660 | 31.6 | 223,340 | 4,320 |
| 2006 | 223,855 | 31.1 | 220,350 | 3,505 |
| 2011 | 225,070 | 30.4 | 219,780 | 5,290 |
| 2016 | 223,330 | 30.3 | 217,130 | 6,200 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -1.9 | -1.3 | -2.8 | 43.5 |

[^44]| Quebec |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 582,975 | 8.2 | 551,485 | 31,490 |
| 2006 | 599,245 | 8.1 | 571,545 | 27,700 |
| 2011 | 648,725 | 8.3 | 604,965 | 43,760 |
| 2016 | 668,175 | 8.3 | 614,355 | 53,820 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 14.6 | 0.1 | 11.4 | 70.9 |
| Ontario |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 397,130 | 3.5 | 371,925 | 25,205 |
| 2006 | 398,430 | 3.3 | 376,450 | 21,980 |
| 2011 | 413,190 | 3.2 | 379,170 | 34,020 |
| 2016 | 418,160 | 3.1 | 376,615 | 41,545 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 5.3 | -0.4 | 1.3 | 64.8 |
| Manitoba |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 30,475 | 2.8 | 28,640 | 1,835 |
| 2006 | 30,380 | 2.7 | 28,880 | 1,500 |
| 2011 | 29,515 | 2.5 | 27,340 | 2,175 |
| 2016 | 28,770 | 2.3 | 26,110 | 2,660 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -5.6 | -0.5 | -8.8 | 45.0 |
| Saskatchewan |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 8,945 | 0.9 | 8,350 | 595 |
| 2006 | 7,735 | 0.8 | 7,080 | 655 |
| 2011 | 7,940 | 0.8 | 7,290 | 650 |
| 2016 | 8,165 | 0.8 | 7,210 | 955 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -8.7 | -0.2 | -13.7 | 60.5 |
| Alberta |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 34,375 | 1.2 | 31,605 | 2,770 |
| 2006 | 35,590 | 1.1 | 33,110 | 2,480 |
| 2011 | 44,335 | 1.2 | 39,815 | 4,520 |
| 2016 | 49,715 | 1.2 | 43,520 | 6,195 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 44.6 | 0.1 | 37.7 | 123.6 |
| British Columbia |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 30,115 | 0.8 | 26,930 | 3,185 |
| 2006 | 30,400 | 0.7 | 27,720 | 2,680 |
| 2011 | 34,770 | 0.8 | 30,735 | 4,035 |
| 2016 | 36,920 | 0.8 | 31,795 | 5,125 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 22.6 | 0.0 | 18.1 | 60.9 |


| Yukon |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 585 | 2.1 | 570 | 15 |
| 2006 | 860 | 2.8 | 810 | 50 |
| 2011 | 1,225 | 3.6 | 1,120 | 105 |
| 2016 | 1,340 | 3.8 | 1,235 | 105 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 129.1 | 1.7 | 116.7 | 600.0 |
| Northwest Territories |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 635 | 1.7 | 585 | 50 |
| 2006 | 625 | 1.5 | 615 | 10 |
| 2011 | 750 | 1.8 | 715 | 35 |
| 2016 | 965 | 2.3 | 870 | 95 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 52.0 | 0.6 | 48.7 | 90.0 |
| Nunavut |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 290 | 1.1 | 280 | 10 |
| 2006 | 260 | 0.9 | 250 | 10 |
| 2011 | 310 | 1.0 | 310 | 0 |
| 2016 | 445 | 1.2 | 430 | 15 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 53.4 | 0.2 | 53.6 | 50.0 |
| Canada less Quebec |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 761,605 | 3.4 | 722,195 | 39,410 |
| 2006 | 757,740 | 3.2 | 723,635 | 34,105 |
| 2011 | 785,295 | 3.1 | 732,670 | 52,625 |
| 2016 | 795,520 | 3.0 | 730,405 | 65,115 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 4.5 | -0.4 | 1.1 | 65.2 |

[^45]| Provinces and territories | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { (100\%) } \end{aligned}$ | \% of Population | French (English in QC), and French and English, Mother Tongue ${ }^{72}$ | Non-Official Language Mother Tongue Language Spoken Most Often at Home |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | French (English in Quebec) ${ }^{73}$ | French and English ${ }^{74}$ |
| Newfoundland and Labrador |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 2,540 | 0.5 | 2,525 | 0 | 15 |
| 2006 | 2,240 | 0.4 | 2,230 | 10 | 0 |
| 2011 | 3,055 | 0.6 | 3,015 | 25 | 15 |
| 2016 | 3,075 | 0.6 | 3,030 | 25 | 20 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) ${ }^{75}$ | 21.1 | 0.1 | 20.0 | N/A | 33.3 |
| Prince Edward Island |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 6,125 | 4.6 | 6,100 | 10 | 15 |
| 2006 | 5,885 | 4.4 | 5,880 | 5 | 0 |
| 2011 | 5,705 | 4.1 | 5,680 | 20 | 5 |
| 2016 | 5,435 | 3.9 | 5,400 | 25 | 10 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -11.3 | -0.7 | -11.5 | 150.0 | -33.3 |
| Nova Scotia |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 36,935 | 4.1 | 36,750 | 140 | 45 |
| 2006 | 35,045 | 3.9 | 34,915 | 115 | 15 |
| 2011 | 34,870 | 3.8 | 34,590 | 140 | 140 |
| 2016 | 33,655 | 3.7 | 33,345 | 165 | 145 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -8.9 | -0.4 | -9.3 | 17.9 | 222.2 |
| New Brunswick |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 242,515 | 33.7 | 242,060 | 425 | 30 |
| 2006 | 238,680 | 33.2 | 237,570 | 1,045 | 65 |
| 2011 | 241,300 | 32.6 | 240,455 | 730 | 115 |
| 2016 | 239,920 | 32.6 | 238,865 | 895 | 160 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -1.1 | -1.1 | -1.3 | 110.6 | 433.3 |

[^46]| Quebec |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 797,365 | 11.2 | 627,505 | 156,525 | 13,335 |
| 2006 | 833,755 | 11.2 | 640,615 | 179,675 | 13,465 |
| 2011 | 913,740 | 11.7 | 700,410 | 189,725 | 23,605 |
| 2016 | 950,940 | 11.8 | 718,990 | 200,970 | 30,980 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 19.3 | 0.6 | 14.6 | 28.4 | 132.3 |
| Ontario |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 544,930 | 4.8 | 533,965 | 7,725 | 3,240 |
| 2006 | 546,495 | 4.5 | 532,855 | 10,425 | 3,215 |
| 2011 | 579,890 | 4.6 | 561,160 | 11,960 | 6,770 |
| 2016 | 590,495 | 4.4 | 568,335 | 12,805 | 9,355 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 8.4 | -0.4 | 6.4 | 65.8 | 188.7 |
| Manitoba |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 47,925 | 4.3 | 47,555 | 205 | 165 |
| 2006 | 47,710 | 4.2 | 47,110 | 445 | 155 |
| 2011 | 48,505 | 4.1 | 47,665 | 570 | 270 |
| 2016 | 47,150 | 3.7 | 46,060 | 660 | 430 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -1.6 | -0.6 | -3.1 | 222.0 | 160.6 |
| Saskatchewan |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 19,670 | 2.0 | 19,530 | 70 | 70 |
| 2006 | 17,710 | 1.9 | 17,575 | 85 | 50 |
| 2011 | 19,240 | 1.9 | 18,935 | 205 | 100 |
| 2016 | 18,240 | 1.7 | 17,740 | 265 | 235 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -7.3 | -0.4 | -9.2 | 278.6 | 235.7 |
| Alberta |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 67,150 | 2.3 | 65,990 | 695 | 465 |
| 2006 | 70,060 | 2.2 | 68,435 | 1,075 | 550 |
| 2011 | 83,840 | 2.3 | 81,085 | 1,840 | 915 |
| 2016 | 90,580 | 2.2 | 86,700 | 2,285 | 1,595 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 34.9 | 0.0 | 31.4 | 228.8 | 243.0 |
| British Columbia |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 65,485 | 1.7 | 63,630 | 1,115 | 740 |
| 2006 | 65,125 | 1.6 | 63,295 | 1,135 | 695 |
| 2011 | 73,380 | 1.7 | 70,765 | 1,415 | 1,200 |
| 2016 | 74,455 | 1.6 | 71,700 | 1,230 | 1,525 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 13.7 | -0.1 | 12.7 | 10.3 | 106.1 |


| Yukon |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 1,015 | 3.6 | 985 | 15 | 15 |
| 2006 | 1,245 | 4.1 | 1,225 | 20 | 0 |
| 2011 | 1,665 | 4.9 | 1,635 | 20 | 10 |
| 2016 | 1,855 | 5.2 | 1,815 | 35 | 5 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 82.8 | 1.7 | 84.3 | 133.3 | -66.7 |
| Northwest Territories |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 1,065 | 2.9 | 1,065 | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | 1,070 | 2.6 | 1,035 | 15 | 20 |
| 2011 | 1,195 | 2.9 | 1,165 | 20 | 10 |
| 2016 | 1,400 | 3.4 | 1,360 | 20 | 20 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 31.5 | 0.5 | 27.7 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Nunavut |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 440 | 1.7 | 425 | 15 | 0 |
| 2006 | 455 | 1.6 | 415 | 35 | 5 |
| 2011 | 465 | 1.5 | 450 | 15 | 0 |
| 2016 | 650 | 1.8 | 635 | 10 | 5 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 47.7 | 0.2 | 49.4 | -33.3 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Canada less Quebec |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | $1,035,750$ | 4.6 | $1,020,545$ | 10,415 | 4,790 |
| 2006 | $1,031,690$ | 4.3 | $1,012,540$ | 14,395 | 4,755 |
| 2011 | $1,093,115$ | 4.3 | $1,066,590$ | 16,965 | 9,560 |
| 2016 | $1,106,895$ | 4.1 | $1,074,985$ | 18,410 | 13,500 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 6.9 | -0.5 | 5.3 | 76.8 | 181.8 |

Sources: Statistics Canada, censuses of population, 2001, 2006, 2011 and 2016.
N/A: Not applicable.

| Provinces and territories | Total (100\%) | \% of Population | French (English in Quebec), and French and English, Mother Tongue ${ }^{76}$ | Non-Official Language Mother Tongue Language Spoken at Least Regularly at Home |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | French (English in Quebec) ${ }^{77}$ | French and English ${ }^{78}$ |
| Newfoundland and Labrador |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 2,605 | 0.5 | 2,525 | 55 | 25 |
| 2006 | 2,280 | 0.5 | 2,230 | 50 | 0 |
| 2011 | 3,155 | 0.6 | 3,015 | 105 | 35 |
| 2016 | 3,165 | 0.6 | 3,030 | 90 | 45 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) ${ }^{79}$ | 21.5 | 0.1 | 20.0 | 63.6 | 80.0 |
| Prince Edward Island |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 6,155 | 4.6 | 6,100 | 40 | 15 |
| 2006 | 5,935 | 4.4 | 5,880 | 45 | 10 |
| 2011 | 5,780 | 4.2 | 5,680 | 70 | 30 |
| 2016 | 5,485 | 3.9 | 5,400 | 65 | 20 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -10.9 | -0.7 | -11.5 | 62.5 | 33.3 |
| Nova Scotia |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 37,285 | 4.2 | 36,750 | 460 | 75 |
| 2006 | 35,505 | 3.9 | 34,915 | 455 | 135 |
| 2011 | 35,325 | 3.9 | 34,590 | 505 | 230 |
| 2016 | 34,115 | 3.7 | 33,345 | 500 | 270 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -8.5 | -0.4 | -9.3 | 8.7 | 260.0 |
| New Brunswick |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 242,875 | 33.7 | 242,060 | 725 | 90 |
| 2006 | 239,240 | 33.2 | 237,570 | 1,505 | 165 |
| 2011 | 241,870 | 32.7 | 240,455 | 1,190 | 225 |
| 2016 | 240,595 | 32.7 | 238,865 | 1,400 | 330 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -0.9 | -1.1 | -1.3 | 93.1 | 266.7 |

[^47]| Quebec |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 900,370 | 12.6 | 627,505 | 240,620 | 32,245 |
| 2006 | 954,615 | 12.8 | 640,615 | 279,755 | 34,245 |
| 2011 | $1,039,940$ | 13.3 | 700,410 | 287,915 | 51,615 |
| 2016 | $1,094,205$ | 13.6 | 718,990 | 311,020 | 64,195 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 21.5 | 0.9 | 14.6 | 29.3 | 99.1 |
| Ontario |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 570,305 | 5.1 | 533,965 | 29,355 | 6,985 |
| 2006 | 573,070 | 4.8 | 532,855 | 32,460 | 7,755 |
| 2011 | 608,125 | 4.8 | 561,160 | 34,105 | 12,860 |
| 2016 | 615,885 | 4.6 | 568,335 | 31,305 | 16,245 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 8.0 | -0.4 | 6.4 | 6.6 | 132.6 |
| Manitoba |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 49,640 | 4.5 | 47,555 | 1,845 | 240 |
| 2006 | 48,755 | 4.3 | 47,110 | 1,455 | 190 |
| 2011 | 49,935 | 4.2 | 47,665 | 1,800 | 470 |
| 2016 | 48,235 | 3.8 | 46,060 | 1,495 | 680 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -2.8 | -0.7 | -3.1 | -19.0 | 183.3 |
| Saskatchewan |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 20,325 | 2.1 | 19,530 | 670 | 125 |
| 2006 | 18,355 | 1.9 | 17,575 | 650 | 130 |
| 2011 | 20,030 | 2.0 | 18,935 | 885 | 210 |
| 2016 | 18,825 | 1.7 | 17,740 | 715 | 370 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -7.4 | -0.4 | -9.2 | 6.7 | 196.0 |
| Alberta |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 70,555 | 2.4 | 65,990 | 3,885 | 680 |
| 2006 | 73,380 | 2.3 | 68,435 | 4,075 | 870 |
| 2011 | 88,270 | 2.4 | 81,085 | 5,425 | 1,760 |
| 2016 | 95,015 | 2.4 | 86,700 | 5,445 | 2,870 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 34.7 | 0.0 | 31.4 | 40.2 | 322.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |


| British Columbia |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 71,380 | 1.8 | 63,630 | 6,505 | 1,245 |
| 2006 | 71,060 | 1.7 | 63,295 | 6,355 | 1,410 |
| 2011 | 79,130 | 1.8 | 70,765 | 6,260 | 2,105 |
| 2016 | 79,085 | 1.7 | 71,700 | 4,820 | 2,565 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 10.8 | -0.1 | 12.7 | -25.9 | 106.0 |
| Yukon |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 1,045 | 3.7 | 985 | 45 | 15 |
| 2006 | 1,275 | 4.2 | 1,225 | 50 | 0 |
| 2011 | 1,690 | 5.0 | 1,635 | 45 | 10 |
| 2016 | 1,910 | 5.4 | 1,815 | 75 | 20 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 82.8 | 1.7 | 84.3 | 66.7 | 33.3 |
| Northwest Territories |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 1,105 | 3.0 | 1,065 | 40 | 0 |
| 2006 | 1,120 | 2.7 | 1,035 | 50 | 35 |
| 2011 | 1,245 | 3.0 | 1,165 | 70 | 10 |
| 2016 | 1,455 | 3.5 | 1,360 | 60 | 35 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 31.7 | 0.5 | 27.7 | 50.0 | N/A |
| Nunavut |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 475 | 1.8 | 425 | 40 | 10 |
| 2006 | 475 | 1.6 | 415 | 55 | 5 |
| 2011 | 515 | 1.6 | 450 | 60 | 5 |
| 2016 | 670 | 1.9 | 635 | 30 | 5 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 41.1 | 0.1 | 49.4 | -25.0 | -50.0 |
| Canada less Quebec |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 1,073,710 | 4.8 | 1,020,545 | 43,685 | 9,480 |
| 2006 | 1,070,370 | 4.5 | 1,012,540 | 47,165 | 10,665 |
| 2011 | 1,135,080 | 4.5 | 1,066,590 | 50,520 | 17,970 |
| 2016 | 1,144,400 | 4.3 | 1,074,985 | 45,980 | 23,435 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 6.6 | -0.5 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 147.2 |

Sources: Statistics Canada, censuses of population, 2001, 2006, 2011 and 2016.
N/A: Not applicable.

| Provinces and territories | Total (100\%) | \% of Population | Knowledge of Official Languages: French (English in Quebec) | Subtotal | Knowledge of Official Languages: French and English |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Mother Tongue |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | French (English in Quebec) ${ }^{80}$ | French and English ${ }^{81}$ |
| Newfoundland and Labrador |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 2,280 | 0.4 | 145 | 2,135 | 1,865 | 270 |
| 2006 | 2,015 | 0.4 | 85 | 1,930 | 1,730 | 200 |
| 2011 | 2,320 | 0.5 | 135 | 2,185 | 1,815 | 370 |
| 2016 | 2,670 | 0.5 | 115 | 2,555 | 2,080 | 475 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) ${ }^{82}$ | 17.1 | 0.1 | -20.7 | 19.7 | 11.5 | 75.9 |
| Prince Edward Island |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 5,505 | 4.1 | 95 | 5,410 | 5,100 | 310 |
| 2006 | 5,385 | 4.0 | 60 | 5,325 | 4,980 | 345 |
| 2011 | 5,015 | 3.6 | 130 | 4,885 | 4,540 | 345 |
| 2016 | 4,915 | 3.5 | 115 | 4,800 | 4,385 | 415 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -10.7 | -0.6 | 21.1 | -11.3 | -14.0 | 33.9 |
| Nova Scotia |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 34,960 | 3.9 | 790 | 34,170 | 32,000 | 2,170 |
| 2006 | 32,990 | 3.7 | 1,005 | 31,985 | 30,190 | 1,795 |
| 2011 | 31,700 | 3.5 | 875 | 30,825 | 28,280 | 2,545 |
| 2016 | 31,055 | 3.4 | 705 | 30,350 | 27,375 | 2,975 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -11.2 | -0.5 | -10.8 | -11.2 | -14.5 | 37.1 |

[^48]| New Brunswick |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 240,395 | 33.4 | 66,415 | 173,980 | 169,225 | 4,755 |
| 2006 | 236,400 | 32.8 | 73,755 | 162,645 | 158,605 | 4,040 |
| 2011 | 238,135 | 32.2 | 66,375 | 171,760 | 165,845 | 5,915 |
| 2016 | 236,775 | 32.2 | 63,145 | 173,630 | 166,920 | 6,710 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -1.5 | -1.2 | -4.9 | -0.2 | -1.4 | 47.1 |
| Quebec |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 755,480 | 10.6 | 327,040 | 428,440 | 377,090 | 51,350 |
| 2006 | 789,250 | 10.6 | 336,785 | 452,465 | 406,205 | 46,260 |
| 2011 | 854,890 | 10.9 | 363,860 | 491,030 | 419,405 | 71,625 |
| 2016 | 885,910 | 11.0 | 372,450 | 513,460 | 429,525 | 83,935 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 17.3 | 0.4 | 13.9 | 19.8 | 13.9 | 63.5 |
| Ontario |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 514,380 | 4.6 | 42,305 | 472,075 | 438,540 | 33,535 |
| 2006 | 516,360 | 4.3 | 49,210 | 467,150 | 436,870 | 30,280 |
| 2011 | 533,005 | 4.2 | 42,980 | 490,025 | 444,660 | 45,365 |
| 2016 | 544,305 | 4.1 | 40,045 | 504,260 | 449,670 | 54,590 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 5.8 | -0.5 | -5.3 | 6.8 | 2.5 | 62.8 |
| Manitoba |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 44,155 | 4.0 | 1,250 | 42,905 | 40,810 | 2,095 |
| 2006 | 43,765 | 3.9 | 1,930 | 41,835 | 39,770 | 2,065 |
| 2011 | 42,570 | 3.6 | 1,490 | 41,080 | 37,930 | 3,150 |
| 2016 | 42,115 | 3.3 | 1,480 | 40,635 | 36,920 | 3,715 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -4.6 | -0.7 | 18.4 | -5.3 | -9.5 | 77.3 |


| Saskatchewan |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 17,210 | 1.8 | 360 | 16,850 | 15,720 | 1,130 |
| 2006 | 15,245 | 1.6 | 485 | 14,760 | 13,925 | 835 |
| 2011 | 14,825 | 1.5 | 425 | 14,400 | 13,080 | 1,320 |
| 2016 | 14,900 | 1.4 | 535 | 14,365 | 12,705 | 1,660 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -13.4 | -0.4 | 48.6 | -14.7 | -19.2 | 46.9 |
| Alberta |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 59,685 | 2.0 | 1,895 | 57,790 | 53,045 | 4,745 |
| 2006 | 61,730 | 1.9 | 2,200 | 59,530 | 55,140 | 4,390 |
| 2011 | 70,725 | 2.0 | 3,205 | 67,520 | 60,175 | 7,345 |
| 2016 | 78,275 | 1.9 | 3,895 | 74,380 | 65,285 | 9,095 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 31.1 | -0.1 | 105.5 | 28.7 | 23.1 | 91.7 |
| British Columbia |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 56,715 | 1.5 | 1,810 | 54,905 | 49,185 | 5,720 |
| 2006 | 56,855 | 1.4 | 2,075 | 54,780 | 49,920 | 4,860 |
| 2011 | 60,145 | 1.4 | 2,050 | 58,095 | 50,400 | 7,695 |
| 2016 | 63,175 | 1.4 | 1,805 | 61,370 | 52,070 | 9,300 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 11.4 | -0.1 | -0.3 | 11.8 | 5.9 | 62.6 |
| Yukon |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 890 | 3.1 | 45 | 845 | 790 | 55 |
| 2006 | 1,170 | 3.9 | 105 | 1,065 | 990 | 75 |
| 2011 | 1,520 | 4.5 | 90 | 1,430 | 1,300 | 130 |
| 2016 | 1,715 | 4.8 | 90 | 1,625 | 1,430 | 195 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 92.7 | 1.7 | 100.0 | 92.3 | 81.0 | 254.5 |


| Northwest Territories |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 945 | 2.5 | 40 | 905 | 830 | 75 |
| 2006 | 965 | 2.4 | 55 | 910 | 875 | 35 |
| 2011 | 1,070 | 2.6 | 50 | 1,020 | 955 | 65 |
| 2016 | 1,260 | 3.0 | 65 | 1,195 | 1,075 | 120 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 33.3 | 0.5 | 62.5 | 32.0 | 29.5 | 60.0 |
| Nunavut |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 390 | 1.5 | 25 | 365 | 345 | 20 |
| 2006 | 385 | 1.3 | 20 | 365 | 350 | 15 |
| 2011 | 435 | 1.4 | 35 | 400 | 400 | 0 |
| 2016 | 605 | 1.7 | 60 | 545 | 515 | 30 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 55.1 | 0.2 | 140.0 | 49.3 | 49.3 | 50.0 |
| Canada less Quebec |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 977,520 | 4.3 | 115,175 | 862,345 | 807,460 | 54,885 |
| 2006 | 973,265 | 4.1 | 130,985 | 842,280 | 793,345 | 48,935 |
| 2011 | 1,001,490 | 4.0 | 117,840 | 883,650 | 809,400 | 74,250 |
| 2016 | 1,021,735 | 3.8 | 112,055 | 909,680 | 820,425 | 89,255 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 4.5 | -0.5 | -2.7 | 5.5 | 1.6 | 62.6 |

Sources: Statistics Canada, censuses of population, 2001, 2006, 2011 and 2016.

| Table 14. Minority mother tongue population and non-official <br> province and territory, 2001 to 2016 |
| :--- |
| Prother tongue population with a minority-language FOLS, by |

[^49]| Nova Scotia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 37,695 | 4.2 | 34,155 | 130 | 3,410 | 2,595 | 815 |
| 2006 | 36,090 | 4.0 | 32,675 | 120 | 3,295 | 2,240 | 1,055 |
| 2011 | 35,765 | 3.9 | 31,425 | 170 | 4,170 | 3,165 | 1,005 |
| 2016 | 34,580 | 3.8 | 29,690 | 190 | 4,700 | 3,655 | 1,045 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -8.3 | -0.4 | -13.1 | 46.2 | 37.8 | 40.8 | 28.2 |
| New Brunswick |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 243,005 | 33.8 | 236,770 | 450 | 5,785 | 5,290 | 495 |
| 2006 | 239,610 | 33.3 | 233,095 | 1,220 | 5,295 | 4,475 | 820 |
| 2011 | 242,155 | 32.7 | 233,780 | 830 | 7,545 | 6,675 | 870 |
| 2016 | 241,155 | 32.8 | 231,440 | 1,075 | 8,640 | 7,425 | 1,215 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -0.8 | -1.0 | -2.3 | 138,9 | 49.4 | 40.4 | 145.5 |
| Quebec |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | $1,035,370$ | 14.5 | 572,090 | 246,320 | 216,960 | 55,415 | 161,545 |
| 2006 | $1,125,890$ | 15.1 | 591,760 | 283,750 | 250,380 | 48,855 | 201,525 |
| 2011 | $1,215,490$ | 15.6 | 622,660 | 297,900 | 294,930 | 77,750 | 217,180 |
| 2016 | $1,278,500$ | 15.8 | 628,645 | 316,185 | 333,670 | 90,345 | 243,325 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 23.5 | 1.3 | 9.9 | 28.4 | 53,8 | 63.0 | 50.6 |
| Ontario |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 611,570 | 5.4 | 493,630 | 10,885 | 107,055 | 40,335 | 66,720 |
| 2006 | 619,285 | 5.1 | 496,600 | 13,225 | 109,460 | 36,255 | 73,205 |
| 2011 | 646,795 | 5.1 | 506,945 | 14,820 | 125,030 | 54,215 | 70,815 |
| 2016 | 660,455 | 5.0 | 503,280 | 15,870 | 141,305 | 65,055 | 76,250 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 8.0 | -0.5 | 2.0 | 45.8 | 32.0 | 61.3 | 14.3 |


| Manitoba |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 49,330 | 4.5 | 44,775 | 300 | 4,255 | 2,780 | 1,475 |
| 2006 | 49,255 | 4.3 | 44,390 | 510 | 4,355 | 2,720 | 1,635 |
| 2011 | 50,220 | 4.2 | 43,510 | 550 | 6,160 | 4,155 | 2,005 |
| 2016 | 49,445 | 3.9 | 41,220 | 810 | 7,415 | 4,840 | 2,575 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 0.2 | -0.6 | -7.9 | 170.0 | 74.3 | 74.1 | 74.6 |
| Saskatchewan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 20,190 | 2.1 | 18,040 | 125 | 2,025 | 1,490 | 535 |
| 2006 | 18,280 | 1.9 | 16,300 | 110 | 1,870 | 1,275 | 595 |
| 2011 | 20,015 | 2.0 | 17,030 | 190 | 2,795 | 1,905 | 890 |
| 2016 | 19,435 | 1.8 | 15,415 | 325 | 3,695 | 2,325 | 1,370 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -3.7 | -0.3 | -14.6 | 160.0 | 82.5 | 56.0 | 156.1 |
| Alberta |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 72,250 | 2.5 | 59,735 | 770 | 11,745 | 6,255 | 5,490 |
| 2006 | 77,445 | 2.4 | 62,550 | 1,290 | 13,605 | 5,885 | 7,720 |
| 2011 | 93,925 | 2.6 | 71,490 | 2,045 | 20,390 | 9,595 | 10,795 |
| 2016 | 103,825 | 2.6 | 74,835 | 2,945 | 26,045 | 11,865 | 14,180 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 43.7 | 0.1 | 25.3 | 282.5 | 121.8 | 89.7 | 158.3 |
| British Columbia |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 78,675 | 2.0 | 56,105 | 1,380 | 21,190 | 7,525 | 13,665 |
| 2006 | 81,055 | 2.0 | 56,585 | 1,345 | 23,125 | 6,710 | 16,415 |
| 2011 | 87,550 | 2.0 | 60,630 | 1,505 | 25,415 | 10,135 | 15,280 |
| 2016 | 1.9 | 59,525 | 1,555 | 27,990 | 12,175 | 15,815 |  |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 13.2 | -0.1 | 6.1 | 12.7 | 32.1 | 61.8 | 15.7 |


| Yukon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 1,075 | 3.8 | 890 | 25 | 160 | 95 | 65 |
| 2006 | 1,355 | 4.5 | 1,115 | 40 | 200 | 110 | 90 |
| 2011 | 1,735 | 5.2 | 1,475 | 20 | 240 | 160 | 80 |
| 2016 | 1,930 | 5.4 | 1,580 | 35 | 315 | 235 | 80 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 79.5 | 1.7 | 77.5 | 40.0 | 96.9 | 147.4 | 23.1 |
| Northwest Territories |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 1,130 | 3.0 | 970 | 5 | 155 | 95 | 60 |
| 2006 | 1,160 | 2.8 | 985 | 25 | 150 | 50 | 100 |
| 2011 | 1,270 | 3.1 | 1,095 | 20 | 155 | 70 | 85 |
| 2016 | 1,490 | 3.6 | 1,215 | 20 | 255 | 145 | 110 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 31.9 | 0.6 | 25.3 | 300.0 | 64.5 | 52.6 | 83.3 |
| Nunavut |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 495 | 1.9 | 405 | 15 | 75 | 20 | 55 |
| 2006 | 510 | 1.7 | 390 | 20 | 100 | 25 | 75 |
| 2011 | 525 | 1.7 | 440 | 25 | 60 | 10 | 50 |
| 2016 | 735 | 2.1 | 600 | 10 | 125 | 35 | 90 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 48.5 | 0.2 | 48.1 | -33.3 | 66.7 | 75.0 | 63.6 |
| Canada less Quebec |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 1,124,120 | 5.0 | 953,300 | 14,115 | 156,705 | 67,245 | 89,460 |
| 2006 | 1,132,390 | 4.8 | 951,975 | 17,920 | 162,495 | 60,565 | 101,930 |
| 2011 | 1,188,955 | 4.7 | 975,560 | 20,230 | 193,165 | 91,030 | 102,135 |
| 2016 | 1,210,980 | 4.5 | 966,110 | 22,900 | 221,970 | 108,875 | 113,095 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 7.7 | -0.5 | 1.3 | 62.2 | 41.6 | 61.9 | 26.4 |

[^50]| Provinces and territories | Total | \% of Population | Minority first language ${ }^{86}$ (who still know that) ${ }^{87}$ | First language not an official language (who know the minority language) ${ }^{88}$ | Majority first language ${ }^{89}$ (who speak the minority language at home regularly) ${ }^{90}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Newfoundland and Labrador |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 4,250 | 0.8 | 2,255 | 375 | 1,620 |
| 2006 | 4,405 | 0.9 | 2,015 | 660 | 1,730 |
| 2011 | 5,520 | 1.1 | 2,275 | 530 | 2,715 |
| 2016 | 6,145 | 1.2 | 2,645 | 735 | 2,765 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) ${ }^{91}$ | 44.6 | 0.4 | 17.3 | 96.0 | 70.7 |
| Prince Edward Island |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 7,000 | 5.2 | 5,510 | 200 | 1,290 |
| 2006 | 7,025 | 5.2 | 5,375 | 270 | 1,380 |
| 2011 | 7,250 | 5.2 | 5,010 | 330 | 1,910 |
| 2016 | 7,295 | 5.2 | 4,900 | 410 | 1,985 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 4.2 | -0.1 | -11.1 | 105.0 | 53.9 |

[^51]| Nova Scotia |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 44,735 | 5.0 | 34,895 | 2,855 | 6,985 |
| 2006 | 44,895 | 5.0 | 32,955 | 3,445 | 8,495 |
| 2011 | 45,330 | 5.0 | 31,585 | 3,315 | 10,430 |
| 2016 | 45,315 | 5.0 | 30,980 | 3,520 | 10,815 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 1.3 | 0.0 | -11.2 | 23.3 | 54.8 |
| New Brunswick |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 259,480 | 36.1 | 240,090 | 2,180 | 17,210 |
| 2006 | 258,005 | 35.9 | 235,790 | 3,615 | 18,600 |
| 2011 | 259,545 | 35.1 | 237,660 | 2,965 | 18,920 |
| 2016 | 259,640 | 35.3 | 236,215 | 3,700 | 19,725 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 0.1 | -0.8 | -1.6 | 69.7 | 14.6 |
| Quebec |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 1,445,225 | 20.3 | 620,475 | 490,140 | 334,610 |
| 2006 | 1,593,875 | 21.4 | 634,985 | 597,560 | 361,330 |
| 2011 | 1,771,555 | 22.7 | 689,255 | 642,695 | 439,605 |
| 2016 | 1,924,920 | 23.9 | 709,190 | 713,815 | 501,915 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 33.2 | 3.6 | 14.3 | 45.6 | 50.0 |
| Ontario |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 791,105 | 7.0 | 508,485 | 187,435 | 95,185 |
| 2006 | 827,485 | 6.9 | 510,600 | 211,505 | 105,380 |
| 2011 | 864,675 | 6.8 | 526,010 | 202,900 | 135,765 |
| 2016 | 910,040 | 6.8 | 537,685 | 220,830 | 151,525 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 15.0 | -0.2 | 5.7 | 17.8 | 59.2 |


| Manitoba |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 57,715 | 5.2 | 43,990 | 6,495 | 7,230 |
| 2006 | 57,835 | 5.1 | 43,545 | 6,815 | 7,475 |
| 2011 | 59,665 | 5.0 | 42,285 | 6,600 | 10,780 |
| 2016 | 61,160 | 4.8 | 41,785 | 7,750 | 11,625 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 6.0 | -0.4 | -5.0 | 19.3 | 60.8 |
| Saskatchewan |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 23,300 | 2.4 | 17,125 | 2,425 | 3,750 |
| 2006 | 21,945 | 2.3 | 15,165 | 2,570 | 4,210 |
| 2011 | 23,355 | 2.3 | 14,720 | 2,755 | 5,880 |
| 2016 | 25,240 | 2.3 | 14,765 | 3,865 | 6,610 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 8.3 | -0.1 | -13.8 | 59.4 | 76.3 |
| Alberta |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 92,635 | 3.1 | 59,305 | 19,370 | 13,960 |
| 2006 | 101,660 | 3.1 | 61,245 | 24,265 | 16,150 |
| 2011 | 120,725 | 3.3 | 69,770 | 28,260 | 22,695 |
| 2016 | 139,565 | 3.5 | 76,995 | 35,770 | 26,800 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 50.7 | 0.3 | 29.8 | 84.7 | 92.0 |
| British Columbia |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 113,615 | 2.9 | 55,970 | 41,900 | 15,745 |
| 2006 | 125,110 | 3.1 | 56,175 | 50,005 | 18,930 |
| 2011 | 131,260 | 3.0 | 59,315 | 45,560 | 26,385 |
| 2016 | 138,670 | 3.0 | 62,450 | 47,765 | 28,455 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 22.1 | 0.1 | 11.6 | 14.0 | 80.7 |


| Yukon |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 1,335 | 4.7 | 880 | 225 | 230 |
| 2006 | 1,820 | 6.0 | 1,150 | 325 | 345 |
| 2011 | 2,230 | 6.6 | 1,520 | 275 | 435 |
| 2016 | 2,540 | 7.1 | 1,710 | 315 | 515 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 90.3 | 2.5 | 94.3 | 40.0 | 123.9 |
| Northwest Territories |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 1,465 | 3.9 | 940 | 215 | 310 |
| 2006 | 1,560 | 3.8 | 955 | 320 | 285 |
| 2011 | 1,785 | 4.3 | 1,075 | 270 | 440 |
| 2016 | 2,160 | 5.2 | 1,255 | 315 | 590 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 47.4 | 1.3 | 33.5 | 46.5 | 90.3 |
| Nunavut |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 640 | 2.4 | 405 | 135 | 100 |
| 2006 | 685 | 2.3 | 370 | 195 | 120 |
| 2011 | 735 | 2.3 | 430 | 165 | 140 |
| 2016 | 960 | 2.7 | 600 | 220 | 140 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 50.0 | 0.3 | 48.1 | 63.0 | 40.0 |
| Canada less Quebec |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 1,397,225 | 6.2 | 969,825 | 263,835 | 163,565 |
| 2006 | 1,452,470 | 6.1 | 965,360 | 304,000 | 183,110 |
| 2011 | 1,522,055 | 6.0 | 991,635 | 293,915 | 236,505 |
| 2016 | 1,598,735 | 6.0 | 1,011,950 | 325,210 | 261,575 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 14.4 | -0.2 | 4.3 | 23.3 | 59.9 |

Sources: Statistics Canada, censuses of population, 2001, 2006, 2011 and 2016.

| Table 16. Estimated number of speakers based on the new calculation method of the Official Languages (Communications with <br> and Services to the Public) Requlations, by province and territory, 2001 to 2016 |
| :--- |
| Provinces and territories |

[^52]| Nova Scotia |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 44,275 | 4.9 | 36,740 | 1,415 | 6,120 |
| 2006 | 44,025 | 4.9 | 34,920 | 1,230 | 7,875 |
| 2011 | 45,750 | 5.0 | 34,585 | 1,760 | 9,405 |
| 2016 | 44,940 | 4.9 | 33,345 | 1,645 | 9,950 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 1.5 | 0.0 | -9.2 | 16.3 | 62.6 |
| New Brunswick |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 260,080 | 36.1 | 242,060 | 5,890 | 12,130 |
| 2006 | 257,815 | 35.8 | 237,580 | 6,790 | 13,445 |
| 2011 | 260,795 | 35.2 | 240,455 | 6,470 | 13,870 |
| 2016 | 260,305 | 35.4 | 238,865 | 6,730 | 14,710 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 0.1 | -0.8 | -1.3 | 14.3 | 21.3 |
| Quebec |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 1,234,975 | 17.3 | 627,500 | 257,405 | 350,070 |
| 2006 | 1,315,940 | 17.7 | 640,615 | 281,450 | 393,875 |
| 2011 | 1,479,540 | 18.9 | 700,410 | 317,480 | 461,650 |
| 2016 | 1,596,115 | 19.8 | 718,990 | 352,465 | 524,660 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 29.2 | 2.5 | 14.6 | 36.9 | 49.9 |
| Ontario |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 665,465 | 5.9 | 533,965 | 24,395 | 107,105 |
| 2006 | 678,445 | 5.6 | 532,865 | 26,645 | 118,935 |
| 2011 | 743,875 | 5.8 | 561,155 | 38,605 | 144,115 |
| 2016 | 767,410 | 5.8 | 568,335 | 45,735 | 153,340 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 15.3 | -0.1 | 6.4 | 87.5 | 43.2 |


| Manitoba |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 56,905 | 5.2 | 47,560 | 1,305 | 8,040 |
| 2006 | 56,200 | 5.0 | 47,110 | 1,500 | 7,590 |
| 2011 | 60,725 | 5.1 | 47,670 | 2,325 | 10,730 |
| 2016 | 59,850 | 4.7 | 46,060 | 2,645 | 11,145 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 5.2 | 5.2 | -3.2 | 102.7 | 38.6 |
| Saskatchewan |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 24,045 | 2.5 | 19,525 | 480 | 4,040 |
| 2006 | 22,545 | 2.4 | 17,570 | 475 | 4,500 |
| 2011 | 25,900 | 2.5 | 18,935 | 1,280 | 5,685 |
| 2016 | 25,415 | 2.3 | 17,740 | 1,270 | 6,405 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 5.7 | -0.2 | -9.1 | 164.6 | 58.5 |
| Alberta |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 84,495 | 2.9 | 65,995 | 2,710 | 15,790 |
| 2006 | 89,540 | 2.7 | 68,430 | 3,425 | 17,685 |
| 2011 | 110,980 | 3.1 | 81,085 | 6,050 | 23,845 |
| 2016 | 121,810 | 3.0 | 86,700 | 7,610 | 27,500 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 44.2 | 0.2 | 31.4 | 180.8 | 74.2 |
| British Columbia |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 87,110 | 2.3 | 63,635 | 3,460 | 20,015 |
| 2006 | 89,990 | 2.2 | 63,290 | 3,595 | 23,105 |
| 2011 | 105,520 | 2.4 | 70,755 | 6,225 | 28,540 |
| 2016 | 107,525 | 2.3 | 71,700 | 6,635 | 29,190 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 23.4 | 0.1 | 12.7 | 91.8 | 45.8 |


| Yukon |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 1,255 | 4.4 | 975 | 45 | 235 |
| 2006 | 1,610 | 5.3 | 1,225 | 55 | 330 |
| 2011 | 2,120 | 6.3 | 1,630 | 80 | 410 |
| 2016 | 2,435 | 6.8 | 1,815 | 100 | 520 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 94.0 | 2.4 | 86.2 | 122.2 | 121.3 |
| Northwest Territories |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 1,445 | 3.9 | 1,065 | 55 | 325 |
| 2006 | 1,405 | 3.4 | 1,040 | 45 | 320 |
| 2011 | 1,690 | 4.1 | 1,170 | 100 | 420 |
| 2016 | 2,035 | 4.9 | 1,360 | 115 | 560 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 40.8 | 1.0 | 27.7 | 109.1 | 72.3 |
| Nunavut |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 555 | 2.1 | 415 | 20 | 120 |
| 2006 | 600 | 2.0 | 405 | 55 | 140 |
| 2011 | 655 | 2.1 | 440 | 35 | 180 |
| 2016 | 810 | 2.3 | 635 | 30 | 145 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 45.9 | 0.2 | 53.0 | 50.0 | 20.8 |
| Canada less Quebec |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 1,237,280 | 5.5 | 1,020,555 | 40,170 | 176,555 |
| 2006 | 1,253,490 | 5.3 | 1,012,545 | 44,100 | 196,845 |
| 2011 | 1,371,580 | 5.4 | 1,066,585 | 63,870 | 241,125 |
| 2016 | 1,405,950 | 5.3 | 1,074,985 | 73,135 | 257,830 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 13.6 | -0.2 | 5.3 | 82.1 | 46.0 |

[^53]| Provinces and territories | FOLS Method | New Method | Change (Number) | Change (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Number |  |  |
| Newfoundland and Labrador |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 2,105 | 4,215 | 2,110 | 100.2 |
| 2006 | 1,935 | 3,980 | 2,045 | 105.7 |
| 2011 | 2,095 | 5,855 | 3,760 | 179.5 |
| 2016 | 2,430 | 5,925 | 3,495 | 143.8 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) ${ }^{98}$ | 15.4 | 40.6 | 40.6 | 43.6 |
| Prince Edward Island |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 5,275 | 7,455 | 2,180 | 41.3 |
| 2006 | 5,130 | 7,320 | 2,190 | 42.7 |
| 2011 | 4,810 | 7,695 | 2,885 | 60.0 |
| 2016 | 4,665 | 7,485 | 2,820 | 60.5 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -11.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 19.1 |
| Nova Scotia |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 33,765 | 44,275 | 10,510 | 31.1 |
| 2006 | 32,225 | 44,025 | 11,800 | 36.6 |
| 2011 | 30,330 | 45,750 | 15,420 | 50.8 |
| 2016 | 29,370 | 44,940 | 15,570 | 53.0 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -13.0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 21.9 |
| New Brunswick |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 238,450 | 260,080 | 21,630 | 9.1 |
| 2006 | 235,130 | 257,815 | 22,685 | 9.6 |
| 2011 | 235,695 | 260,795 | 25,100 | 10.6 |
| 2016 | 234,055 | 260,305 | 26,250 | 11.2 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -1.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 2.1 |
| Quebec |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 918,955 | 1,234,975 | 316,020 | 34.4 |
| 2006 | 994,725 | 1,315,940 | 321,215 | 32.3 |
| 2011 | 1,058,250 | 1,479,540 | 421,290 | 39.8 |
| 2016 | 1,103,475 | 1,596,115 | 492,640 | 44.6 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 20.1 | 29.2 | 29.2 | 10.3 |

[^54]| Ontario |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 527,710 | 665,465 | 137,755 | 26.1 |
| 2006 | 537,595 | 678,445 | 140,850 | 26.2 |
| 2011 | 542,390 | 743,875 | 201,485 | 37.1 |
| 2016 | 550,600 | 767,410 | 216,810 | 39.4 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 4.3 | 15.3 | 15.3 | 13.3 |
| Manitoba |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 43,385 | 56,905 | 13,520 | 31.2 |
| 2006 | 43,120 | 56,200 | 13,080 | 30.3 |
| 2011 | 41,365 | 60,725 | 19,360 | 46.8 |
| 2016 | 40,975 | 59,850 | 18,875 | 46.1 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -5.6 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 14.9 |
| Saskatchewan |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 16,555 | 24,045 | 7.490 | 45.2 |
| 2006 | 14,850 | 22,545 | 7,695 | 51.8 |
| 2011 | 14,290 | 25,900 | 11,610 | 81.2 |
| 2016 | 14,440 | 25,415 | 10,975 | 76.0 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | -12.8 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 30.8 |
| Alberta |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 58,825 | 84,495 | 25,670 | 43.6 |
| 2006 | 62,790 | 89,540 | 26,750 | 42.6 |
| 2011 | 71,370 | 110,980 | 39,610 | 55.5 |
| 2016 | 79,840 | 121,810 | 41,970 | 52.6 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 26.3 | 44.2 | 44.2 | 8.9 |
| British Columbia |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 59,375 | 87,110 | 27,735 | 46.7 |
| 2006 | 61,735 | 89,990 | 28,255 | 45.8 |
| 2011 | 62,190 | 105,520 | 43,330 | 69.7 |
| 2016 | 64,320 | 107,525 | 43,205 | 67.2 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 8.3 | 23.4 | 23.4 | 20.5 |
| Yukon |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 885 | 1,255 | 370 | 41.8 |
| 2006 | 1,185 | 1,610 | 425 | 35.9 |
| 2011 | 1,485 | 2,120 | 635 | 42.8 |
| 2016 | 1,640 | 2,435 | 795 | 48.5 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 85.3 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 6.7 |


| Northwest Territories |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 915 | 1,445 | 530 | 57.9 |
| 2006 | 1,005 | 1,405 | 400 | 39.8 |
| 2011 | 1,080 | 1,690 | 610 | 56.5 |
| 2016 | 1,240 | 2,035 | 795 | 64.1 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 35.5 | 40.8 | 40.8 | 6.2 |
| Nunavut |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 410 | 555 | 145 | 35.4 |
| 2006 | 425 | 600 | 175 | 41.2 |
| 2011 | 475 | 655 | 180 | 37.9 |
| 2016 | 630 | 810 | 180 | 28.6 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 53.7 | 45.9 | 45.9 | -6.8 |
| Canada less Quebec |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 987,650 | $1,237,280$ | 249,630 | 25.3 |
| 2006 | 997,128 | $1,253,490$ | 256,363 | 25.7 |
| 2011 | $1,007,585$ | $1,371,580$ | 363,995 | 36.1 |
| 2016 | $1,024,193$ | $1,405,950$ | 381,758 | 37.3 |
| Change from 2001 to 2016 (\%) | 3.7 | 13.6 | 13.6 | 12.0 |

[^55]APPENDIX B: FIRST OFFICIAL LANGUAGE SPOKEN (FOLS)
For the purposes of applying the part of the Official Languages Act dealing with communications with and services to the public under the 1991 Regulations, the first official language spoken (FOLS) is an estimation method developed by using linguistic variables from the Statistics Canada census.
Statistics Canada's 2001 Census Dictionary provides this definition:
"This variable was derived within the framework of the application of the Official Languages Act.
This derivation method is described in the regulations concerning the use of official languages for the provision of public services. It takes into account: first, the knowledge of the two official languages; second, the mother tongue; and third, the language spoken at home.
Persons who can conduct a conversation in French only are assigned French as their first official language spoken. Persons who can carry on a conversation in English only are assigned English as their first official language spoken. The responses to questions on mother tongue and language spoken at home are subsequently used to establish the first official language spoken by people who speak both English and French, or who cannot speak either of the two official languages. The French category includes people who have French only or French, and at least one non-official language as their mother tongue. People who have English only or English, and at least one nonofficial language as their mother tongue, are included in the English category. For cases that have not yet been classified, people are assigned to the French category when they speak French only or French, and at least one non-official language as their language spoken at home. The procedure is the same for English. Thus, the population is classified into two principal categories: English or French. It is necessary to add two residual categories for people who cannot be classified in accordance with the information available: English and French and neither English nor French." (Statistics Canada, 2001)
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The first report was published in 2006 at the request of the CNFS (Forgues and Landry, 2006). The second report was published in 2009 (Forgues, Landry and Boudreau, 2009), and the third in 2014 (Guignard Noël, Forgues and Landry, 2014).
    ${ }^{2}$ Data for the 2001 and 2006 censuses are from Statistics Canada's $20 \%$ sample. Data for the 2011 and 2016 censuses are from the $100 \%$ data, except for the data on language of work, which are from the $30 \%$ (2011) and $25 \%$ (2016) samples. ${ }^{3}$ For each table and chart in this report, the minority population includes the official-language minority population which, regardless of the linguistic variable presented, includes the response "French" with or without another language. In Quebec, the response is "English" with or without another language.

[^1]:    ${ }^{4}$ These persons worked for pay or in self-employment in 2015 (for the 2016 Census) [for more details, see the full definition of Work activity during the reference year of person].
    ${ }^{5}$ In 2001 and 2006, this detailed questionnaire was sent to a $20 \%$ sample of Canadian households. In 2011, the National Household Survey (NHS) replaced the long-form census questionnaire. It was sent to a $30 \%$ sample of Canadian households, which could respond voluntarily. The response rate to the long-form questionnaire during that census was $68.6 \%$. For the 2016 Census, Statistics Canada reinstated the long-form questionnaire, making it mandatory, with a $25 \%$ sample size.
    ${ }^{6}$ See Appendix B for Statistics Canada's description of this variable.

[^2]:    ${ }^{7}$ For the purposes of this report, we have not allocated multiple responses. We show cumulative responses as single or multiple responses, either as a single response or a response combined with another language.
    ${ }^{8}$ In this report, non-official language includes Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal languages.

[^3]:    ${ }^{9}$ This would explain the increase in the number of speakers who speak French at home between 2006 and 2011 in this report. For more information on data comparability, please see the Methodological Document on the 2011 Census Language Data.
    ${ }^{10}$ To lighten the text, we sometimes use the term "minority language" to refer to the French language outside Quebec and the English language within Quebec.
    ${ }^{10}$ French (or English in Quebec) includes single and multiple responses. French only (or English only in Quebec), as well as French and English are calculated with or without a non-official language.

[^4]:    ${ }^{12}$ This chart includes both single and multiple responses, that is, either as a single response or as a response combined with another language.

[^5]:    ${ }^{13}$ French (or English in Quebec) includes single and multiple responses. French only (or English only in Quebec), as well as French and English are calculated with or without a non-official language.

[^6]:    ${ }^{14}$ This chart includes both single and multiple responses, that is, either as a single response or as a response combined with another language.

[^7]:    ${ }^{15}$ French (or English in Quebec) includes single and multiple responses. French only (or English only in Quebec), as well as French and English are calculated with or without a non-official language.
    ${ }^{16}$ Persons who speak two languages at least regularly at home may, for example, speak English most often at home while also speaking French regularly at home. They speak two languages at least regularly at home: English and French.

[^8]:    ${ }^{17}$ This chart includes both single and multiple responses, that is, either as a single response or as a response combined with another language.

[^9]:    ${ }^{18}$ This chart includes both single and multiple responses, that is, either as a single response or as a response combined with another language.

[^10]:    ${ }^{19}$ For the purposes of this report, we have not allocated multiple responses. We show cumulative responses as single or multiple responses, either as a single response or a response combined with another language.

[^11]:    ${ }^{20}$ This chart includes both single and multiple responses, that is, either as a single response or as a response combined with another language.

[^12]:    ${ }^{21}$ French (or English in Quebec) includes single and multiple responses. French only (or English only in Quebec), as well as French and English are calculated with or without a non-official language.
    ${ }^{22}$ This chart includes both single and multiple responses, that is, either as a single response or as a response combined with another language.

[^13]:    ${ }^{23}$ French (or English in Quebec) includes single and multiple responses. French only (or English only in Quebec), as well as French and English are calculated with or without a non-official language.

[^14]:    ${ }^{24}$ This chart includes both single and multiple responses, that is, either as a single response or as a response combined with another language.

[^15]:    ${ }^{25}$ French (or English in Quebec) includes single and multiple responses. French only (or English only in Quebec), as well as French and English are calculated with or without a non-official language.

[^16]:    ${ }^{26}$ This chart includes both single and multiple responses, that is, either as a single response or as a response combined with another language.

[^17]:    ${ }^{27}$ French (or English in Quebec) includes single and multiple responses. French only (or English only in Quebec), as well as French and English are calculated with or without a non-official language.
    ${ }^{28}$ This chart includes both single and multiple responses, that is, either as a single response or as a response combined with another language.

[^18]:    ${ }^{29}$ French (or English in Quebec) includes single and multiple responses. French only (or English only in Quebec), as well as French and English are calculated with or without a non-official language.

[^19]:    ${ }^{30}$ This chart includes both single and multiple responses, that is, either as a single response or as a response combined with another language.

[^20]:    ${ }^{31}$ French (or English in Quebec) includes single and multiple responses. French only (or English only in Quebec), as well as French and English are calculated with or without a non-official language.

[^21]:    ${ }^{32}$ This chart includes both single and multiple responses, that is, either as a single response or as a response combined with another language.

[^22]:    ${ }^{33}$ French (or English in Quebec) includes single and multiple responses. French only (or English only in Quebec), as well as French and English are calculated with or without a non-official language.

[^23]:    ${ }^{34}$ This chart includes both single and multiple responses, that is, either as a single response or as a response combined with another language.

[^24]:    ${ }^{35}$ French (or English in Quebec) includes single and multiple responses. French only (or English only in Quebec), as well as French and English are calculated with or without a non-official language.

[^25]:    ${ }^{36}$ This chart includes both single and multiple responses, that is, either as a single response or as a response combined with another language.

[^26]:    ${ }^{37}$ French (or English in Quebec) includes single and multiple responses. French only (or English only in Quebec), as well as French and English are calculated with or without a non-official language.

[^27]:    ${ }^{38}$ This chart includes both single and multiple responses, that is, either as a single response or as a response combined with another language.

[^28]:    ${ }^{39}$ This chart includes both single and multiple responses, that is, either as a single response or as a response combined with another language.
    ${ }^{40}$ The head offices or headquarters of federal institutions have the obligation to offer services in both official languages. So too must be offices in Canada and abroad, when the nature of the office justifies it or where there is significant demand for the use of both official languages.

[^29]:    ${ }^{41}$ This chart includes both single and multiple responses, that is, either as a single response or as a response combined with another language.

[^30]:    ${ }^{42}$ As of May 1, 2019, this position became part of the Office of the Ombudsman of Ontario.

[^31]:    ${ }^{43}$ According to Statistics Canada, FOLS is a good indicator of the linguistic orientation of immigrants, who, outside Quebec, are integrating more into the Anglophone community. Moreover, in Canada outside Quebec, $86.2 \%$ of recent immigrants (2011 to 2016) had English as their FOLS, whereas only $2.6 \%$ had French as their FOLS (Statistics Canada, 2017b).

[^32]:    ${ }^{44}$ With or without a non-official language.
    45 /dem.
    ${ }^{46}$ The change from 2001 to 2016 in the percentage (\%) of population column is calculated using percentages rounded to the nearest tenth, which may slightly influence the results.

[^33]:    ${ }^{47}$ With or without a non-official language.
    $48 / d e m$.
    ${ }^{49}$ The change from 2001 to 2016 in the percentage (\%) of population column is calculated using percentages rounded to the nearest tenth, which may slightly influence the results.

[^34]:    ${ }^{50}$ With or without a non-official language.
    ${ }^{51}$ /dem.
    ${ }^{52}$ The change from 2001 to 2016 in the percentage (\%) of population column is calculated using percentages rounded to the nearest tenth, which may slightly influence the results.

[^35]:    ${ }^{53}$ The change from 2001 to 2016 in the percentage (\%) of population column is calculated using percentages rounded to the nearest tenth, which may slightly influence the results.

[^36]:    ${ }^{54}$ The change from 2001 to 2016 in the percentage (\%) of population column is calculated using percentages rounded to the nearest tenth, which may slightly influence the results.

[^37]:    ${ }^{55}$ With or without a non-official language.
    56 /dem.
    ${ }^{57}$ The change from 2001 to 2016 in the percentage (\%) of population column is calculated using percentages rounded to the nearest tenth, which may slightly influence the results.

[^38]:    Sources: Statistics Canada, censuses of population, 2001, 2006, 2011 and 2016.

[^39]:    ${ }^{58}$ With or without a non-official language.
    59 /dem.
    ${ }^{60}$ The change from 2001 to 2016 in the percentage (\%) of population column is calculated using percentages rounded to the nearest tenth, which may slightly influence the results.

[^40]:    Sources: Statistics Canada, censuses of population, 2001, 2006, 2011 and 2016.

[^41]:    ${ }^{61}$ With or without a non-official language.
    62 Idem.
    ${ }^{63}$ The change from 2001 to 2016 in the percentage (\%) of population column is calculated using percentages rounded to the nearest tenth, which may slightly influence the results.

[^42]:    ${ }^{64}$ Alone or with another language.
    ${ }^{65}$ With or without a non-official language.
    ${ }^{66}$ /dem.
    ${ }^{67}$ The change from 2001 to 2016 in the percentage (\%) of population column is calculated using percentages rounded to the nearest tenth, which may slightly influence the results.

[^43]:    Sources: Statistics Canada, censuses of population, 2001, 2006, 2011 and 2016.

[^44]:    ${ }^{68}$ Alone or with another language.
    ${ }^{69}$ With or without a non-official language.
    70 /dem.
    ${ }^{71}$ The change from 2001 to 2016 in the percentage (\%) of population column is calculated using percentages rounded to the nearest tenth, which may slightly influence the results.

[^45]:    Sources: Statistics Canada, censuses of population, 2001, 2006, 2011 and 2016.

[^46]:    ${ }^{72}$ With or without a non-official language.
    ${ }^{73}$ /dem.
    74 /dem.
    ${ }^{75}$ The change from 2001 to 2016 in the percentage (\%) of population column is calculated using percentages rounded to the nearest tenth, which may slightly influence the results.

[^47]:    ${ }^{76}$ With or without a non-official language.
    ${ }^{77}$ Idem.
    78 /dem.
    ${ }^{79}$ The change from 2001 to 2016 in the percentage (\%) of population column is calculated using percentages rounded to the nearest tenth, which may slightly influence the results.

[^48]:    ${ }^{80}$ With or without a non-official language
    ${ }^{81}$ /dem.
    ${ }^{82}$ The change from 2001 to 2016 in the percentage (\%) of population column is calculated using percentages rounded to the nearest tenth, which may slightly influence the results.

[^49]:    ${ }^{83}$ With or without a non-official language
    ${ }^{3}$ /dem.
     influence the results.

[^50]:    Sources: Statistics Canada, censuses of population, 2001, 2006, 2011 and 2016.

[^51]:    ${ }^{86}$ Alone or with another language.
    87 Idem.
    88 Idem.
    89 With or without a non-official language.
    90 Alone or with another language
     influence the results.

[^52]:    ${ }^{92}$ Alone or with another language.
    ${ }^{93}$ With or without a non-official language
    94 Alone or with another language.
    95 With or without a non-official language.
    ${ }^{96}$ Alone or with another language
     influence the results.

[^53]:    Sources: Statistics Canada, censuses of population, 2001, 2006, 2011 and 2016.

[^54]:    ${ }^{98}$ The change from 2001 to 2016 in the percentage (\%) of population column is calculated using percentages rounded to the nearest tenth, which may slightly influence the results.

[^55]:    Sources: Statistics Canada, censuses of population, 2001, 2006, 2011 and 2016.

